



EUROPEAN COMMISSION

Brussels, 7.6.2011
SEC(2011) 729 final

COMMISSION STAFF WORKING PAPER

**Assessment of the 2011 national reform programme and convergence programme for
POLAND**

Accompanying the document

Recommendation for a

COUNCIL RECOMMENDATION

**on the National Reform Programme 2011 of Poland and delivering a Council Opinion
on the updated convergence programme of Poland, 2011-2014**

{SEC(2011) 824 final}

1. INTRODUCTION

Poland was the only EU Member State that managed to avoid a recession during the crisis. But this came at the cost of a rapidly deteriorating fiscal position. In response, the government has started a fiscal consolidation programme and has introduced structural reforms to tackle long-standing challenges in the labour and product markets. The reform plans of the government are confirmed in the National Reform Programme (NRP) and the Convergence Programme (CP) submitted to the Commission on 29 and 27 April 2011. These documents also reflect the short-term commitments that the Polish government presented on 28 April 2011 under the Euro Plus Pact.

2. ECONOMIC SITUATION

2.1. RECENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENTS

Poland experienced strong growth before the crisis. Driven by domestic demand, increasing interlinkages with global trade networks, foreign capital inflows and credit growth, annual real GDP growth averaged 5.2% between 2003 and 2008. Domestic demand thrived on robust job creation, credit growth and EU funds that helped boost investment and private consumption. The turnaround in the labour market (unemployment fell by 12.8 pps between 2002 and 2008) was brought about by accelerating foreign direct investment inflows and a strong export performance by Polish industry, spurred by EU accession and improvements in price- and non-price competitiveness.

After a period of successful disinflation in the mid-2000s, Polish inflation stabilised at a remarkably moderate level in 2005-2007. Throughout much of the remainder of the decade, energy and food prices were the major driving force of inflation, whereas inflation in services was broadly contained. Consumer price inflation surpassed 4% in 2008-2009 on the back of emerging capacity constraints, surging commodity prices and higher import prices (also reflecting the zloty's depreciation against the euro by almost 35% between July 2008 and February 2009). In 2010, inflation fell to around 2% by mid-year amid muted domestic demand before picking up to just below 3% by end of the year on the back of higher commodity prices.

The global financial crisis led to a decline in the growth rate of real GDP, but Poland avoided recession. Real GDP increased by 1.7% in 2009, down from 5.1% in 2008. The resilience of the Polish economy during the crisis reflects the interplay of several factors, including the absence of large macroeconomic imbalances, relatively low trade openness, a favourable export structure and a timely monetary and fiscal policy response. Sharp currency depreciation helped mitigate the impact of collapsing export markets and weak domestic demand for domestic production. As a result, the current account deficit narrowed to around 2% of GDP in 2009.

The labour market adjusted through wages and sectoral reallocation of labour from industry to services. Unemployment rose to 9.6% in 2010, up from 7.1% in 2008, despite increasing employment (by 0.7% in 2009-2010). Nominal wage growth slowed down in 2009-2010 and real wages remained broadly stable during this period.

The banking sector also weathered the financial crisis well. The support scheme for financial institutions included mostly government guarantees. It served as a safety net but was never

used by any financial intermediary. The National Bank of Poland also took some non-conventional measures to support both the financial sector and the real economy.

In 2010, real GDP is estimated to have grown by 3.8%. Rebounding external demand fuelled the domestic manufacturing sector and reduced inventories. Capital inflows picked up as ample global liquidity, reduced risk aversion and a growing interest rate disparity led to renewed interest in Polish portfolio assets. As a result, foreign investment in sovereign bonds grew substantially in 2010, while yields remained stable. Moreover, re-emerging inflationary pressure led the central bank to start raising interest rates in January 2011.

2.2. OUTLOOK

Economic activity is projected to increase by 4% in 2011 and 3.7% in 2012, as domestic demand strengthens. The improving labour market situation and robust wage growth are likely to stimulate household demand with an additional boost from the Euro 2012 football championship¹. The manufacturing sector is expected to gain from growing external demand, while services will benefit from increased domestic spending. Construction activity, which grew during the crisis on the back of sizeable investment in infrastructure, is likely to expand as an easing off in public investment will be progressively compensated by growing demand for housing. After deteriorating significantly over the last few years, public finances are expected to improve in the years to come, driven by an ambitious consolidation package aiming to reduce the general government deficit to below 3% of GDP by 2012.

The rate of potential growth is expected to be lower than before the crisis, though the dip appears to be relatively limited compared to that in the peer countries. Losses to capital stock have been much more limited than in other countries, as shown by the lower level of bankruptcies, not least due to moderate expansion of the construction and financial sectors in the pre-crisis period. The labour market is more flexible than during the previous slowdown, which limits hysteresis, and labour supply benefited from recent reforms (to reduce the tax wedge and abolish special early pensions and pension reform). Lastly, increased public investment in underdeveloped infrastructure network should increase private returns and total factor productivity (TFP).

In the absence of adverse financial and external developments, GDP growth could average some 3.5% in 2013-2015. Unemployment would fall further to around 8.25% at the end of the period. However, after 2015, unfavourable demographics will kick in and the GDP growth rate is expected to drop again to below 3% in 2020.

3. MONITORING, PROCEDURAL ISSUES AND GOVERNANCE

Poland has ensured that its National Reform Programme and Convergence Programme are consistent. The two documents outline in an integrated manner the fiscal consolidation efforts and key structural reforms and reforms underpinning macro-economic stabilisation. The National Reform programme was adopted by the Council of Ministers on 26 April 2011 after a consultation with social partners. The Convergence Programme, adopted on the same day by the government, is expected to be subsequently discussed by the parliamentary commission.

¹ The Euro 2012 football championship is estimated to add around 0.2 percentage point to real GDP growth in 2012.

In the National Reform Programme, Poland also set national targets for employment, R&D, education, energy and climate change and poverty reduction for the year 2020. These targets set out the longer-term development trajectory to modernise the Polish economy and put imminent reform priorities in a broader context. In addition, the National Reform Programme describes Poland's proposed measures in relation to the Euro Plus Pact.

Table 1: Polish national 2020 targets

Europe 2020 targets	Current situation in Poland ²	Polish Europe 2020 target in the NRP
R&D investment (% of GDP)	0.68 %	1.7 %
Employment rate (%)	64.6 % (2010)	71 %
Early school leaving (%)	5.3 %	4.5 %
Tertiary education attainment (%)	32.8 %	45 %
Reduction of number of people in or at risk of poverty or exclusion	10.4 million	- 1.5 million
Energy efficiency – reduction of energy consumption in Mtoe ³		Reduction in primary energy consumption: 14 Mtoe (16% of the primary energy consumption in 2005)
Reduction in greenhouse gas emissions (from sources not covered by the Emissions Trading system)	+4 % ⁴	+14 % ⁵
Renewable energy (% of total energy use)	9.60 %	15.48 %

4. POLICY CHALLENGES, AGENDA AND ASSESSMENT

4.1. CHALLENGES

The consolidation of public finances is fundamental to maintaining the confidence of financial markets and reining in unsustainable debt. This presents a number of challenges. High headline and structural deficits are the result of structural weaknesses on the expenditure side and expansionary fiscal policy during the boom phase. Even though it is below the 60% threshold, Poland's debt has increased, relative to GDP, by 7.9 pps in 2008-2010. Poland's fiscal framework has been strengthened recently but some challenges remain. The binding character of the debt rule is contingent on keeping the definition of public debt unchanged, but the latter can be adjusted by amending the Public Finance Act. There are problems with monitoring the implementation of the general government budget throughout the year and this has resulted in recurrent slippages on the expenditure side, though the new expenditure rule is

² Source: Eurostat 2009 unless stated

³ As estimated by the Commission. Mtoe = Million tonnes of oil equivalent

⁴ This corresponds to the 2005-2008 trend in the emissions not covered by the EU Emissions Trading System. As the scope of the Emissions Trading System changed between 2005 and 2008, these emissions are estimated on the basis of the main relevant UNFCCC source categories (as opposed to the difference between total emissions and EU ETS verified emissions).

⁵ The national emissions limitation target defined in Decision 2009/406/EC (or 'Effort Sharing Decision') concerns the emissions not covered by the EU Emissions Trading System. It is expressed as the minimum relative decrease (if negative) or the maximum relative increase (if positive) compared to 2005 levels.

expected to improve budget execution somewhat. Lastly, a lack of coordination between various tiers of government in the annual and multi-annual budgetary planning compromises the efficiency of the process. In particular, the annual Budget Laws and the Multiannual Financial Plans adopted by the central government do not cover the budgets of local government sector entities, which set up separate, largely independent financial plans.

The increased foreign portfolio capital inflows to Poland need to be carefully monitored, particularly in an environment of rising interest rate differentials and abundant global liquidity. Further fiscal consolidation would support the task of monetary policy in preserving price stability, thereby helping to limit potentially excessive and harmful short-term inflows driven by interest-rate differentials.

The increase in overall employment has been reversed and the rates for young and older workers still lag behind the EU averages. Structural barriers prevent full employment of these groups. Mismatches between skills and qualifications provided by the secondary and tertiary education system and actual labour market needs limit the employability of young people and serious weaknesses persist in the vocational education system. The range of alternative routes to early retirement available to older workers significantly lowers the effective retirement age and their employment rate. Moreover, the level of involvement of older workers in training remains very low (0.7% in 2009). Lastly, the employment rate of women is increasing but remains considerably below the EU average. The frequent cause of women being out of work or in part-time work is the lack of suitable child and dependent care services.

Regional labour mobility is low. It is the result of a lack of affordable housing in fast-growing urban areas, insufficient transport infrastructure and a relatively more generous social security system for farmers, dissuading people from moving from rural to urban regions. Low labour productivity in Polish agriculture is due to unfinished restructuring in agriculture and the important role of subsistence agriculture. Moreover, the limited shift to the non-agricultural sector is due to low levels of education and investment in human capital as well as scarce jobs in rural areas.

In recent years, Poland has significantly reduced the number of people facing poverty and exclusion measured by all indicators except for the at-risk-of poverty indicator. Children are particularly affected by the risk of exclusion as poverty amongst this group started to rise again, reaching 23% in 2009. In addition, poverty amongst older people sharply increased between 2007 and 2009. Access to work remains only a partial remedy, as the level of in-work-poverty is high.

Poland faces a number of challenges related to infrastructure development, energy generation and the environmental impact of both. The economic crisis has not reduced greenhouse gas emissions to bring them into line with the 2020 national target. Competition among current electricity providers is limited and there are only a few interconnections with other Member States, which increases energy costs. Moreover, the energy generation capacity is ageing (45% of capacity is more than 30 years old and 77% is more than 20 years) and reaching its limits. Furthermore, Poland's underdeveloped transport infrastructure continues to be a major bottleneck to growth. Investment appears to be driven by the short-term availability of structural funds and the policy is focused mainly on road construction. Neglecting other modes of transport, including railways, also indicates a lack of focus on environmental sustainability.

The low level of public R&D, which reached only 0.48% of GDP in 2009, is the result of insufficient long-term financial commitment to increase public funding for research and

innovation. Linkages between science and industry are very weak, mainly due to the relatively poor absorption capacity of Polish firms and the disconnection between public research outputs and private technological needs. Another major challenge is the severe underinvestment in research and innovation of the private sector that has led to a weak innovative capacity.

The quality of business environment and the efficiency of public administration are low in Poland. In World Bank cross-country comparisons, Poland performs particularly poorly in the areas of paying taxes, starting up and closing down a business, enforcing contracts and registering property. Companies are hindered by lengthy licensing and permit procedures (e.g. the average time to obtain a construction permit is 311 days, compared to an average of 166 days in the OECD countries). As regards judicial and other legal actions, such as contract enforcement and registration of property, the duration of procedures (it takes an average of 830 days to enforce a contract through the courts in Poland compared to 517 days in the OECD countries) and the number of procedures are relatively high. In addition, Polish small and medium size enterprises (SME) trade with non-EU countries much less than their peers due to lengthy import and export procedures.

Box 1. Euro Plus Pact

On 24/25 March 2011, Poland decided to join the Euro Plus Pact. The national commitments/actions were communicated in a letter from Prime Minister Tusk to the President of the European Council Van Rompuy on 28 April 2011. Measures presented by the Polish government within the framework of the Euro Plus Pact contribute to the four objectives of the Pact and form part of the reform agenda presented in the National Reform Programme and the Convergence Programme.

Measures to *foster competitiveness* presented by the government focus on education and science (i.e. implementation of the reform of the science system; support to young scientists; transfer of knowledge into economy and implementation of the reform of the higher education system), infrastructure development (i.e. creation of modern road, rail, energy and digital networks) and the business environment (i.e. reductions in red tape, easier access to bank loans, a pay freeze in the public sector). Measures to *foster employment* focus on labour market participation (provision of better care facilities for children under three, preservation of lower disability pension contributions) and education (getting business involved in education). Measures to further *improve public finance sustainability* include enforcement of the existing debt rule, temporary expenditure rule (CPI + 1%), new regulations limiting deficit ratios of local governments and a permanent expenditure rule. Measures to *reinforce financial stability* aim for more efficient regulation and supervision of the banking sector (i.e. new regulations for bank resolution funds and support for the activities of the ESRB).

The measures listed in the letter largely reflect the reform agenda presented in the NRP but they do not go substantially beyond the NRP. Several important policy challenges remain unaddressed (e.g. low participation rate of older workers) or are only touched upon (e.g. improvement of business administration). Given the significance of the challenges ahead, further action will be required to boost domestic sources of growth.

4.2. ASSESSMENT OF THE POLICY AGENDA

4.2.1. Macroeconomic policies

4.2.1.1 Public finances

The macroeconomic outlook underlying the convergence programme, which is the same as the outlook underpinning the national reform programme, expects real GDP growth to stabilise at 4% in 2011 and 2012 on the back of rebounding private investment and stable private consumption. Real GDP growth is expected to ease to slightly below 4% in 2013 and 2014. According to the programme, over 2011-2014 the economy should be mainly driven by domestic demand. The contribution of external trade is expected to turn slightly negative, reflecting stronger imports driven by a recovery in investment.

Real GDP growth assumptions in the programme for 2011-2012 are in line with the Commission services' spring 2011 forecast of 4% in 2011 and 3.7% in 2012. The programme expects private consumption to grow by around 3.2% in 2011 and to accelerate to somewhat below 4% in 2012 and beyond. This is in line with the Commission services' forecast, which predicts 3.3% and 3.7% growth of private consumption in 2011 and 2012, respectively. The programme's projections of real GDP growth in 2013 and 2014 are broadly in line with the Commission services' potential growth assumptions.

While the projected fall in unemployment from 9.7% in 2010 to 8.8% in 2012 matches the Commission services' forecasts, the programme's forecast for employment growth in both 2011 and 2012 are slightly more favourable than the Commission services'. Moreover, the programme assumes somewhat faster growth in employee compensation and in total compensation of employees than does the Commission services' forecast.

The programme's projections for consumer price inflation, showing an increase to 3.5% in 2011 on the back of rising commodity prices and a gradual decline to 2.8% in 2012, are slightly below the Spring 2011 forecast (3.8% in 2011 and 3.2% in 2012). The macroeconomic scenario is broadly consistent with monetary and exchange rate assumptions.

The output gap as recalculated by the Commission based on the information in the programme following the commonly agreed methodology is forecast to remain stable at -1% over 2010-2012, before decreasing temporarily to -1.1% in 2013 and rising again to 1% in 2014.⁶ The recalculated negative output gap in the programme is slightly smaller than that estimated in the Commission's Spring 2011 forecast (-1.1%, -1.2% and -1.4% of potential output in 2010, 2011 and 2012, respectively).

Overall, the programme is based on plausible growth assumptions over the programme timeframe, but the employment and wage growth assumptions may be considered as favourable.

The economic crisis has taken a heavy toll on public finances. The general government deficit rose steadily from 3.7% of GDP in 2008 to 7.3% of GDP in 2009 and 7.9% of GDP in 2010 despite a modest consolidation package enacted in 2010. The 2010 budgetary outcome significantly exceeded the 6.9% deficit targeted in the previous (February 2010) update of the programme. Budgetary slippage was due mainly to lower-than-expected revenues from taxes on income and wealth (-0.8 pp. difference), taxes on production and imports (-0.3 pp.) and property income (-0.2 pp.). Meanwhile, expenditure was slightly lower than projected, driven mainly by lower investment expenditure (-0.8 pp.), and despite one-off expenditure related to two waves of severe floods in the first half of 2010.

⁶ The output gaps presented in the programme are significantly higher than the programme's recalculated output gaps, and amount to -0.2%, -0.1%, -0.2% and -0.2% of potential output in 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively.

For 2011, the difference between the deficit projected by the February 2010 update of the programme and the Spring 2011 forecast amounts to 0.1 pp. The similar aggregate outcome hides more diverging views on development of revenues and expenditures. While the previous programme projected a small increase (0.7 pp.) in the revenue ratio and a slow reduction (0.3 pp.) in the expenditure ratio as compared to 2010, the Spring 2011 forecast expects revenues to increase by a much faster 2.1 % of GDP and expenditure also to grow, albeit marginally, by 0.1 % of GDP. On the revenue side, the difference is mainly due to higher social contributions and revenues from taxes on production and imports projected by the Commission, partially offset by a lower starting position in 2010. At the same time, the Commission forecast a somewhat slower increase in expenditure than the national authorities, which is more than offset by a considerable base effect.

The main goal of the programme's medium term budgetary strategy is to reduce the general government deficit to below 3 % of GDP by 2012, thus confirming the commitment to meeting the deadline set by the Council under the Excessive Deficit Procedure. From 2013 on, the main goal is to achieve long-term sustainability of the public finances. The programme does not anticipate achieving the Medium-Term Objective (-1 % of GDP) within the programme period. However, expenditure projections seem to ensure an appropriate adjustment path towards the MTO, when assessed against the projected rate of medium term potential output growth.

Although the adjustment path has remained broadly similar to that presented in the previous update of the programme, the composition of the planned consolidation has been substantially revised. Revenues are now assumed to be more buoyant than previously, projected current spending is higher than in the previous update of the programme, and public investment plans have been revised downwards. Moreover, the planned consolidation is slightly back loaded.

Box 2. Main measures

The projected consolidation is a combination of a number of policy measures implemented in 2011 and planned to be enacted in 2012, supported by the economic rebound and its strong impact on tax revenues. Discretionary measures, mostly of a structural nature, address expenditure and revenue. On the revenue side, the main budgetary impact in 2011 and 2012 is expected to come from the amending pension reform resulting in a redirection of contributions to the public pension pillar, increase in VAT and excise duty rates and abolition of some tax exemptions. On the expenditure side, a rule expected to limit nominal growth in discretionary expenditure and all newly enacted spending items to 1 % over the inflation rate is accompanied by the abolition of early retirement schemes and cuts in social spending. Moreover, a fiscal rule limiting the deficit of local government entities is planned for 2012.

Main budgetary measures (% of GDP)	
Revenue	Expenditure
2011	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amendment of the pension reform (0.64 %) • Increase in VAT rates by 1 pp. (0.41 %) • Nominal freeze of PIT thresholds (0.09 %) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Expenditure rule (including nominal freeze in wage fund) (0.46 %) • Cuts in spending on active labour market policies (0.28 %) • Replacement of early retirement by 'bridge' pensions (0.36 %)
2012	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amendment of the pension reform (0.53 % of GDP) • Nominal freeze of PIT thresholds (0.1 %) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Expenditure rule (including nominal freeze in wage fund) (0.60 %) • Deficit rule for local governments (0.40 %) • Replacement of early retirement by 'bridge' pensions (0.39 %)

Note: The budgetary impact in the table is the impact reported in the programme, i.e. by the national authorities. A positive sign implies that revenue / expenditure increases/decreases as a consequence of this measure.

The projected consolidation is subject to a number of risks, which may jeopardise the achievement of the 3 % GDP deficit target. First, the elasticity of tax revenue with respect to the tax base may be lower than the optimistic assumptions underlying the government's projections, leading to lower revenue. Second, changes to the current spending plans, also beyond the direct control of the government, and potential delays in implementing the deficit-reducing measures could result in a slippage in budgetary outcome. In particular, expenditure cuts based on the newly enacted expenditure rule and the yet-to-be-enacted deficit rule for local and regional governments may fall short of the ambitious plans underlying projections presented in the Programme, due to the lack of transparency and resulting room for discretion in their implementation. Third, capital transfers to Poland may be lower than projected due to the insufficient capability of central and local governments to co-finance EU-funded projects. On the upside, inflation rate and GDP growth may be higher than assumed leading to a faster increase in revenue.

The Commission has taken into account the potential risks to the budgetary consolidation process in the Spring 2011 forecast. Significantly lower revenue growth and minor differences in the estimates of the budgetary effect of the expenditure-reducing reforms (in particular the newly enacted fiscal rules) result in a gap of 0.2 pp. in 2011 and 0.7 pp. in 2012 in the projected general government deficit ratio.

Given that growth over the programme period is projected to be close to potential and the budgetary consolidation is driven mainly by measures classified as structural, the planned fiscal effort is in line with the reduction in the headline deficit. For the period 2011-2012, the average annual fiscal effort in the programme, taken at face value, amounts to 2.4 % of GDP. It is, however, subject to risks related to implementation of the enacted measures, mainly on the expenditure side, where the new fiscal rules may prevent consolidation reaching the expected size. It should be noted though that amending the pension reform — reducing the structural budget deficit by 0.7 % in 2011 and a further 0.5 % of GDP in 2012 — does not improve the underlying budgetary situation as it increases long-term liabilities correspondingly.

Although the programme does not expect to reach the Medium-Term Objective within the programme period, the expenditure projections seem to chart an appropriate adjustment path towards the MTO, when assessed against the projected rate of medium-term potential output growth.

The general government gross debt has been increasing constantly since 2005, with the exception of 2007 when a sharp reduction in deficit enabled debt to temporarily contract to 45% of GDP. Since then it has increased gradually and reached 55% of GDP in 2010. According to the Programme, this trend is expected to be reversed, and debt relative to GDP to fall gradually over the programme period to reach 50.8% of GDP in 2014. It will therefore remain clearly below the Treaty threshold. The downward trend projected in the Programme is a combined effect of fast-falling deficit, a newly implemented liquidity management reform and optimistic assumptions on stock-flow adjustments including the proceeds of privatisation and favourable assumptions on exchange rate fluctuations. The path presented in the programme differs somewhat from that of the Commission's Spring 2011 forecast, which projects debt to increase by 0.4 pp in 2011 and fall back to the level of 2010 in 2012. The gap stems from less of a reduction in the deficit and less optimistic assumptions on the stock-flow adjustments.

Poland is at medium risk with regard to the long-term sustainability of public finances. The long-term cost of ageing is significantly below the EU average. However, the current budgetary position causes a wide sustainability gap over the long term. Based on the current fiscal position, debt would increase to 82% of GDP by 2020. However, full implementation of the programme would be enough to put debt on a downward path and bring it below 60% of GDP by 2020. Ensuring higher primary surpluses over the medium term would help reduce the risks to the sustainability of public finances currently assessed as medium.

Poland has strengthened substantially its fiscal framework over the past years. However, existing fiscal rules and medium-term programming procedures do not provide for sufficient transparency and flexibility in the event of a macroeconomic shock in order to assure sustainability of public finances in the medium to long term and incentives for coordination between various tiers of government.

4.2.1.2. Financial sector

The Polish banking sector is by far the biggest in absolute terms in Central and Eastern Europe, with a total balance sheet reaching 80% of GDP in 2010. Polish banks are predominantly foreign owned (70% of Polish banks in terms of assets are controlled by foreign owners), although the biggest bank in Poland, PKO BP, is state controlled.

Like the rest of the Polish economy, the banking sector has weathered the crisis well. Due to the rather conservative, domestically-focused business model, adopted by financial institutions in Poland and prudent financial regulation, the sector has not been exposed to any toxic assets or complex derivative transactions. However, since 2008 the quality of the lending portfolios has steadily worsened across all client segments. In particular, consumer loans, where non-performing loans exceeded 17%, should be closely monitored.

Immediate risks to the stability of the Polish financial system are restricted to the issue of foreign currency (FX) lending, the risk of a housing bubble being relatively limited because of prudent financial regulations and existing acute shortages in housing. Un-hedged FX loans account for one third of banks' credit portfolio, with about three quarters of all FX loans being mortgage loans. The two foreign currencies predominant in financing Polish mortgages are the Swiss franc (88%) and the euro (11%). The risks related to un-hedged foreign currency

lending are being addressed by the Polish financial supervisor (KNF) via recommendations aiming to improve the quality of credit risk management in banks and raising the overall awareness on risks related to loans in foreign currencies. Two recent recommendations set stringent loan-to-value and debt service-to-income ratios, impose on banks the separation between loan sales and risk assessment procedures and enable clients to repay credits directly in foreign currencies. It is expected that the stock of FX loans will gradually diminish over the next 10-15 years as loans are repaid or redenominated into the national currency. All in all, Poland appears to address this challenge adequately at this stage, but the Commission will continue to monitor the situation.

4.2.2. Labour market policies

Poland recognises the structural challenges related to labour market reform. In November 2010, the government adopted the ‘Human Capital Development Strategy’ as part of the ‘Plan of Re-arrangement of Development Strategy’. The human capital strategy identifies a number of strategic objectives (e.g. an increased level of professional activity, greater mobility and adaptability, an improvement of quality of working life and raising the level of competence and skills of citizens).

Labour market participation

With respect to the low employment rate of older workers, the government replaced the existing early retirement schemes with ‘bridge pensions’ in 2009. These are available for a much smaller number of people (i.e. employees in difficult working conditions) and are partly funded with additional employers’ contributions. Moreover, in 2010 the government started consultations with the trade unions on potential changes to the pension system for uniformed services (the police, the armed forces and the prison service), in order to lengthen their service time. However, the National Reform Programme makes no reference to further changes to the pension system apart from a general statement to increase the effective retirement age by 2015.

The introduction of ‘bridge pensions’ in 2009 and the further work to extend the service time of uniformed professions should increase the effective retirement age and reduce fiscal costs. However, the proposed amendments with respect to uniformed services will not apply to current employees and thus their full effect will only become apparent in the longer term. Moreover, the proposals to change the special retirement arrangements and to rise the statutory retirement age are delayed.

Access to childcare for 3-5 year-olds has increased over the last years with significant support from EU funding. Nevertheless, concrete measures are needed to ensure a sustainable and affordable service provision in the future. The NRP includes plans to subsidise municipalities for creating kindergartens. Recently, more flexible childcare arrangements for younger children have been introduced. The government also intends to implement a ‘Toddler programme’ (‘Program Maluch’) aimed at developing childcare institutions and expanding existing forms of childcare. Further efforts might be necessary to ensure the sustainability of the pre-school arrangements currently under development, accelerate enrolment rates of children below three years and facilitate access to care services for the elderly and other dependants.

As far as the low employment rate of the disabled is concerned, the latest legislative changes propose financial measures to support their employment and are aimed at reducing co-financing of jobs for the mildly or moderately disabled while increasing co-financing for jobs occupied by the more seriously disabled. The proposals target assistance to those with a

serious level of disability (representing 4% of all working disabled and almost 19% of all disabled of working age) while others, especially the lesser disabled, are to be encouraged to seek work on the open labour market.

Investment in transport infrastructure, as well as more accessible social infrastructure and affordable housing remain crucial to promote labour mobility and facilitate commuting. In this respect, the NRP envisages expanding the affordable housing rental market, while increasing flexibility in rental housing legal provisions and investing in transport networks in order to facilitate commuting from rural to urban areas. However, the announced measures may not significantly improve labour mobility and labour market participation, as they do not sufficiently address the supply of housing (hindered by the lack of zoning plans) and focus too much on major transport networks neglecting short-distance railway infrastructure facilitating commuting.

No major legislative initiatives are currently envisaged to tackle the problem of the incomplete restructuring of the agriculture sector. The debate on the farmers' social security system (KRUS) is not advancing. However, as a result of the recent Constitutional Court opinion (October 2010), the rules for calculating the value of health insurance contributions within KRUS have to be changed. The value of individuals' contributions will have to be adjusted to their income (currently they are equal for all farmers). This may reduce one of the incentives for remaining in the KRUS scheme, namely the lower healthcare insurance contributions. Overall, the current system of incentives to remain in agriculture needs to be reviewed and concrete measures aimed at providing ex-farmers with new skills should be implemented.

Education and skills

Poland has been carrying out extensive reforms to modernise all levels of its education system and has improved its results in OECD PISA studies. It has number leaving school early considerably below the EU average, and higher education attainment is slightly above EU average.

However, the education system faces serious difficulties in providing adequate skills as required by the labour market. Skills and jobs mismatches, especially among young people should be addressed by implementing the proposed effective lifelong learning strategy, the reform of the higher education system linking it better with labour market needs and further investments in vocational education programmes, targeting in particular the low-skilled and older workers. Work has already started on implementation of the National Qualifications Framework on top of the national register of qualifications (scheduled for 2012). A policy document 'The prospect of Lifelong Learning' is soon to be adopted. The NRP sets out plans for legislative amendments to better link education to the needs of the labour market, e.g. by enabling schools to implement training courses preparing to confirm qualifications. The NRP also envisages facilitating the transition from education to first employment and job creation in new, less crisis-prone industries.

In addition, Poland is addressing challenges in this area through a higher education reform, which is expected to be implemented in the second half of 2011. The reform is intended to encourage universities to provide more flexible and higher quality curricula which would reduce the skills mismatch by involving employers in the education process and by strengthening university-business links in order to ensure an appropriate range of fields of studies meeting labour market needs.

Access to tailored and individualised support programmes including dedicated training and apprenticeship programmes leading to a first vocational experience is low. Moreover, the

provision of practical training in enterprises for young people within the VET framework is not effective nor is the system of incentives for companies to provide on the job learning. The amendment of December 2010 to the Act on Employment Promotion is, however, a step in the right direction. It renders compulsory the preparation of Individual Action Plans for unemployed young people (under 25) and for those with no qualifications or vocational experience who remain unemployed for over 6 months.

Poverty reduction

Improving the job prospects for the main groups at risk of exclusion will help reduce poverty in Poland. Accordingly, efforts should be made to assist families with children, since childcare responsibilities are one of the main reasons for inactivity/unemployment (women after child birth and single parents constitute a significant proportion of the unemployed). Another challenge is related to the existing short working careers of women, also due to the lower statutory retirement age for women, exposing them to a real risk of inadequate future pensions. In addition, the high in-work poverty should also be addressed, especially among workers with low educational attainment. Lastly, combating in-work poverty and social exclusion among the disabled (especially in rural areas) remains a challenge in Poland.

4.2.3. Growth enhancing structural measures

Business environment

Cumbersome tax administration procedures, unstable tax legislation, rigid business entry/exit procedures for companies and burdensome licence and permit requirements, including lengthy construction permit procedures, adversely affect the business climate. Recent changes to the tax system, that entered into force in 2011, including introduction of VAT registers for lawyers and doctors, abolition of the tax exemptions for company cars and moderate changes in tax administration (a shorter VAT refund period and electronic personal income tax (PIT) settlements introduced in 2009) make the tax system slightly more transparent and taxpayer-friendly. Additionally, the government is working on the revision of implementing provisions introducing 'one-stop shops' to register business activity (as part of the implementation of the Small Business Act) to make business registration over the internet possible countrywide in 2011. Moreover, in the new business environment reform, which should be enacted in 2011, the authorities intend to abolish several licences and permits, replace redundant certificates issued by public institutions with signed declarations, permit the electronic access to the National Court Register and allow the use of e-invoices. Lastly, introduction of an e-judiciary for small lawsuits in 2010 slightly reduced the backlog of pending court cases.

These reforms of the tax administration and business administration are important, even though small improvements. Creating favourable entry and exit conditions for companies and limiting the number of licences and permits remain major challenges. Despite the creation of the e-judiciary, judicial proceedings are still very lengthy, but their cost is much lower than the EU average. The government has not yet simplified the construction permit process, but several promises were made, while local governments are slow in establishing zoning plans that would simplify the investment procedures. In order to promote competitiveness of Polish SMEs in markets outside the EU, the government could also envisage speeding up export and import procedures. Regarding the legislative process, the impact assessment and public consultation guidelines are insufficiently implemented. In general, there is a need to change the legislation making culture by fully and effectively implementing the impact assessment and public consultation guidelines.

Legislation to implement the Services Directive has been adopted, and the Commission is conducting a quality assessment. Poland has also set up its electronic Point of Single Contact Portal, but could step up efforts to enhance the online completion of procedures. For the last four years, Poland has missed the agreed internal market *acquis* transposition deficit target. The transposition of directives into national law is not given sufficient political priority in Poland. The checks in place do not work effectively as there is no internal sanction system when transposition deadlines are missed. Most transposition requires making changes to acts of Parliament, resulting in long procedures.

Energy and climate change

In the field of energy, the policy objectives were set in the framework strategy *Energy Policy of Poland until 2030*. In addition, the government intends to adopt in 2011 the *2nd National Energy Efficiency Action Plan (NEEAP2)* with clear allocation of responsibilities, deadlines and budgets. It has also launched demonstration projects for Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) technology to exploit the potential of reconciling climate policy goals with the heavy coal dependency of the Polish economy. Furthermore, new legislation introducing the system of white certificates for energy providers has recently been voted in the Polish Parliament and financial support for thermo-modernisation of buildings is offered through a dedicated programme. Poland has submitted its National Renewable Energy Action Plan aimed at reaching its 2020 renewable energy targets. The Plan is to be fully implemented and will fully transpose Directive 2009/28/EC on the promotion of the use of energy from renewable sources. Lastly, the NRP envisages that the act on transmission corridors to facilitate investment in the electricity grid will be prepared in 2011.

In spite of the influence of the economic crisis, the recent trend in emissions does not appear in line with the 2020 national target (+14% compared to 2005 levels). This means that additional emissions reduction measures or the use of flexibility mechanisms would be needed to reach the target, in particular in the road transport, construction and agriculture sectors.

Ageing generation capacities and transmission networks could lead to an undersupply of energy and a strong increase in energy costs for end-consumers and industry. The provision of a large amount of emission permits to the energy sector has indirectly created incentives to renew capacity generation based on coal. As planned new electricity generation plants will be mainly based on coal, the potential high carbon price increases may be passed onto electricity prices, particularly given the limited competition on the Polish energy market and the slow progress in the developing cross-border interconnections of the electricity grid. Rebalancing incentive mechanisms for investments in low carbon emitting energy sources, including renewable energy sources, would help Poland meet its emission targets. Speeding up the development of interconnections with the rest of the European Energy Market, in particular in the context of the Baltic Energy Market Interconnection Plan, would meanwhile help to prevent electricity price hikes. Poland could also reduce emissions by increasing its energy and resource efficiency, for example by more efficient use of biomass. Energy production could also be more frequently based on highly energy efficient co-generation of electricity and heat. A clearer and more favourable legal framework for energy performance contracting would also enable investment in energy saving in public buildings with less need to resort to public funding. A further source of energy efficiency would be to specify energy efficiency targets for electricity generation capacity to avoid wasting fuel resources.

As far as the gas market is concerned, the lack of third party access (TPA) is still an outstanding problem and Poland may further invest in gas interconnectors and domestic

transmission pipelines to successfully address energy security and market liberalisation challenges.

Transport and broadband infrastructure

Spending on the new transport network, co-financed with EU funds, accelerated in 2010 and is supposed to increase in 2011-2012. The electronic toll system to be introduced from 2011 onwards for buses and other heavy vehicles may help move towards an infrastructure charging system in line with the 'polluter pays' and 'user pays' principles, while generating revenue and achieving a more balanced modal split, which would improve the efficiency of the entire transport system. However, existing bottlenecks in transport administration, budgetary constraints to extend transport infrastructure and a strong focus on the road network has resulted in neglect of the railway sector. Poland would thus benefit from introducing sustainability concerns in its planning, in that it would rebalance investment between transport modes and shift support in favour of railways. Implementation of the already adopted master plan would improve the attractiveness of rail transport and would help modernise the largely obsolete rolling stock (leading to significant changes to the speed limits as the maximum speed on 40% of the operating network is less than 80 km/h). Poland also intends to adopt this year the new integrated transport strategy, which is expected to better balance investment priorities in various transport modes (railway, air and road transport) taking into account long-term sustainability, including the policy thrust of the Transport 2050 Roadmap. It is also important to ensure compliance of transport investments with EU environmental and transport directives and regulations. Lastly, the economic justification for the way transport infrastructure priorities are set may be revised to limit the effects of budget cuts on the infrastructure network. Where appropriate and justified, public-private partnerships can be considered to reduce budgetary pressure while assuring adequate infrastructure for the economy.

Poland has a very low fixed broadband penetration, in particular in rural areas. Mobile broadband is another gateway for access but Poland is behind schedule to switch off analogue terrestrial TV and free up a significant amount of radio spectrum. This delay risks slowing down mobile broadband deployment.

Research, innovation and tertiary education

In the last few years, Poland has adopted many reforms aimed at increasing the quantity and efficiency of public support for research and innovation. In October 2010, the 'Building upon Knowledge' ('Budujemy na Wiedzy') reform programme entered into force. This reform programme will render the Polish Academy of Sciences more excellence-driven, introduce a competitive funding system for the allocation of public funding and decentralise the implementation of science policy by setting up two new executive agencies, the National Science Centre, in charge of basic research, and the National Research and Development Centre dealing with applied and collaborative research between research institutions and industry.

A reform of tertiary education will give higher education establishments more freedom to set curricula. The best public and private universities will receive additional public grants and the quality and financing of PhD students will be improved through greater focus on their publication record and more competitive qualification procedures. Moreover, academic staff will be restricted in the number of positions they can hold and the procedures of staff appointment, promotion and performance appraisal will be made more transparent and competitive (including periodic assessment of academic staff). There are further plans to give a major stimulus to private sector R&D spending, particularly through collaborative private-

public projects and the development of a system of significant fiscal incentives. Lastly, smart specialisation strategies at national and regional level will be developed to provide a framework for improving the regional innovation systems.

The reform of the science system should result in a more competitive framework, responding better to market needs and promoting excellence in research through international peer review. Moreover, it should help change the incentives and mentality of Polish researchers. However, substantial private funds and public incentives (based on current initiatives from EU funds) will be needed to reach the national R&D spending target, while further reforms leading to internationalisation of the science framework and better alignment with business needs are necessary. The reform of tertiary education should be an effective way to introduce competition into the system. Moreover, in the medium term (after the Excessive Deficit Procedure for Poland is lifted), the design and implementation of a tax scheme to support private research and innovation together with the inclusion of a multiannual funding framework in the upcoming strategy for an innovative economy could be envisaged.

5. SUMMARY

The 2011 update of the convergence programme sets out an ambitious budgetary consolidation programme to be implemented in 2011 and 2012, based on a plausible macroeconomic scenario. A package of mostly structural measures, strengthened by a significant economic rebound, aims to bring the general government deficit below 3% of GDP by 2012, and thus meet the deadline set by the Council under the Excessive Deficit Procedure. A number of risks to implementation of the consolidation package mean that successful correction of the excessive deficit by 2012 may require additional measures. An efficient, transparent and flexible fiscal framework seems to be required to ensure stability of the public finances over the long term.

The reform policy agenda, presented in the National Reform Programme, covers a broad range of measures aimed at overcoming major structural challenges in Poland. Currently enacted and proposed labour market reforms are expected to increase the employment rate, especially by improving female employment and better linking education to labour market needs. These reforms will have to be effectively implemented and strengthened by further measures on childcare and vocational education, including on-the-job training. In addition, particular attention should be put on specific measures targeting the employment of older workers, increasing their retirement age and improving internal mobility of the workforce. In order to increase labour productivity, improve the business environment and boost innovation, the enacted and announced reforms of the R&D and education system, business administration and increased infrastructure spending must be effectively implemented. Additional measures could be envisaged to further strengthen links between tertiary education, research and industry, to encourage investment in low-carbon-emitting energy sources and infrastructure and to enhance contract law enforcement, simplify construction permit procedures and speed up the establishment of local zoning plans.

ANNEX

Table I. Macro economic indicators

	1995-1999	2000-2004	2005-2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Core indicators							
GDP growth rate	6.0	3.2	5.4	1.7	3.8	4.0	3.7
Output gap ¹	-0.3	-0.3	1.4	-0.7	-1.1	-1.2	-1.4
HICP (annual % change)	11.3	4.3	2.6	4.0	2.7	3.8	3.2
Domestic demand (annual % change) ²	7.5	2.4	6.0	-1.0	4.0	4.4	3.7
Unemployment rate (% of labour force) ³	12.0	18.6	12.1	8.2	9.6	9.3	8.8
Gross fixed capital formation (% of GDP)	21.7	19.9	20.4	21.2	19.5	20.8	21.3
Gross national saving (% of GDP)	20.3	17.5	18.6	18.2	17.5	17.7	18.1
General Government (% of GDP)							
Net lending (+) or net borrowing (-)	-4.1	-5.0	-3.3	-7.3	-7.9	-5.8	-3.6
Gross debt	42.8	41.8	46.7	50.9	55.0	55.4	55.1
Net financial assets	0.1	-19.9	-20.0	-22.7	n.a	n.a	n.a
Total revenue	42.3	38.3	39.9	37.2	37.8	40.0	40.1
Total expenditure	46.4	43.3	43.2	44.5	45.7	45.8	43.7
<i>of which: Interest</i>	4.4	3.0	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.7
Corporations (% of GDP)							
Net lending (+) or net borrowing (-)	-4.5	-1.8	0.0	3.7	4.0	3.9	1.9
Net financial assets; non-financial corporations	-74.7	-69.4	-72.2	-80.6	n.a	n.a	n.a
Net financial assets; financial corporations	10.3	11.6	-8.3	-3.2	n.a	n.a	n.a
Gross capital formation	14.7	12.4	13.0	10.5	9.8	10.2	11.4
Gross operating surplus	14.7	18.0	22.0	23.6	22.8	22.4	22.2
Households and NPISH (% of GDP)							
Net lending (+) or net borrowing (-)	6.3	3.6	0.5	2.5	2.6	0.8	0.3
Net financial assets	37.1	39.8	47.3	42.9	n.a	n.a	n.a
Gross wages and salaries	35.1	34.2	32.4	32.8	32.8	32.7	32.8
Net property income	5.6	5.4	3.5	3.0	2.8	2.5	2.2
Current transfers received	20.4	20.8	20.3	21.2	21.7	21.0	20.7
Gross saving	10.5	8.3	5.4	6.7	7.4	5.5	5.0
Rest of the world (% of GDP)							
Net lending (+) or net borrowing (-)	-2.3	-3.1	-2.8	-1.0	-1.1	-1.0	-1.3
Net financial assets	27.5	38.4	53.9	64.8	n.a	n.a	n.a
Net exports of goods and services	-2.8	-3.7	-2.3	0.1	-0.8	-1.7	-1.5
Net primary income from the rest of the world	-0.8	-0.9	-2.5	-3.5	-3.7	-3.8	-4.0
Net capital transactions	0.1	0.0	0.7	1.2	2.0	3.0	2.8
Tradable sector	52.4	49.8	48.8	48.8	49.0	n.a	n.a
Non tradable sector	35.9	38.9	38.9	40.0	39.2	n.a	n.a
<i>of which: Building and construction sector</i>	6.5	5.8	5.9	6.5	6.1	n.a	n.a
Real effective exchange rate (index, 2000=100)	93.8	98.4	99.6	89.2	96.6	99.5	101.7
Terms of trade goods and services (index, 2000=100)	106.9	100.1	103.7	106.7	105.1	103.7	104.3
Market performance of exports (index, 2000=100)	92.7	107.5	127.6	144.5	143.3	145.0	146.8
Notes:							
¹ The output gap constitutes the gap between the actual and potential gross domestic product at 2000 market prices.							
² The indicator on domestic demand includes stocks.							
³ Unemployed persons are all persons who were not employed, had actively sought work and were ready to begin working immediately or within two weeks. The labour force is the total number of people employed and unemployed. The unemployment rate covers the age group 15-74.							
Source:							
Commission services' spring 2011 forecast							

Table II. Macro economic scenario for the budgetary projections

	2010		2011		2012		2013	2014
	COM	CP	COM	CP	COM	CP	CP	CP
Real GDP (% change)	3.8	3.8	4.0	4.0	3.7	4.0	3.7	3.9
Private consumption (% change)	3.2	3.2	3.3	3.2	3.7	3.9	3.6	3.8
Gross fixed capital formation (% change)	-2.0	-2.0	9.7	9.9	7.0	7.1	4.5	5.1
Exports of goods and services (% change)	10.2	10.2	7.7	8.5	7.6	8.5	8.0	7.1
Imports of goods and services (% change)	10.7	10.7	8.5	9.5	7.5	8.5	7.4	6.7
<i>Contributions to real GDP growth:</i>								
- Final domestic demand	2.2	4.0	4.2	4.6	3.8	4.1	3.6	3.8
- Change in inventories	1.8	1.9	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
- Net exports	-0.2	-0.3	-0.4	-0.6	-0.1	-0.2	0.1	0.0
Output gap ¹	-1.1	-1.0	-1.2	-1.0	-1.4	-1.0	-1.1	-1.0
Employment (% change)	0.4	0.6	1.1	1.6	1.0	1.2	0.7	0.4
Unemployment rate (%)	9.6	9.7	9.3	9.4	8.8	8.8	8.5	8.4
Labour productivity (% change)	3.4	3.2	2.9	2.3	2.7	2.8	3.0	3.4
HICP inflation (%)	2.7	2.7	3.8	3.5	3.2	2.8	2.5	2.5
GDP deflator (% change)	1.3	1.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	2.6	2.5	2.5
Comp. of employees (per head, % change)	4.7	5.6	5.9	6.8	6.3	5.8	5.7	6.1
Net lending/borrowing vis-à-vis the rest of the world (% of GDP)	-1.1	1.4	-1.0	1.3	-1.3	1.1	1.4	1.8
<p><u>Note:</u></p> <p>¹In percent of potential GDP, with potential GDP growth according to the programme as recalculated by Commission services.</p> <p><u>Source:</u></p> <p>Commission services' spring 2011 forecasts (COM); Convergence programme (CP).</p>								

Table III. Composition of the budgetary adjustment

(% of GDP)	2010	2011		2012		2013	2014	Change: 2010-2014
	COM	COM	CP	COM	CP	CP	CP	CP
Revenue	37.8	40.0	40.1	40.1	40.7	39.7	39.2	1.4
<i>of which:</i>								
- Taxes on production and imports	13.5	14.0	13.9	14.0	14.1	13.9	13.4	-0.1
- Current taxes on income, wealth, etc.	6.9	7.1	7.3	7.4	7.6	8.0	8.3	1.4
- Social contributions	11.1	11.8	11.9	12.3	12.5	12.5	12.5	1.4
- Other (residual)	6.3	7.0	7.0	6.4	6.5	5.3	5.0	-1.3
Expenditure	45.7	45.8	45.7	43.7	43.7	42.2	41.2	-4.5
<i>of which:</i>								
- Primary expenditure	43.0	43.0	42.9	41.0	40.9	39.5	38.6	-4.4
<i>of which:</i>								
Compensation of employees	10.1	9.8	9.8	9.4	9.4	9.2	9.0	-1.1
Intermediate consumption	6.2	6.1	6.1	5.9	5.9	5.8	5.7	-0.5
Social payments	17.0	16.5	16.5	16.1	16.2	15.9	15.7	-1.3
Subsidies	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.0
Gross fixed capital formation	5.6	6.6	6.6	5.8	5.8	4.9	4.6	-1.0
Other (residual)	3.7	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.2	3.2	3.1	-0.6
- Interest expenditure	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.8	2.7	2.6	-0.1
General government balance (GGB)	-7.9	-5.8	-5.6	-3.6	-2.9	-2.5	-2.0	5.9
Primary balance	-5.2	-3.0	-2.8	-0.9	-0.2	0.3	0.6	5.8
One-off and other temporary measures	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
GGB excl. one-offs	-7.9	-5.8	-5.6	-3.6	-2.9	-2.5	-2.0	5.9
Output gap ²	-1.1	-1.2	-1.0	-1.4	-1.0	-1.1	-1.0	0.1
Cyclically-adjusted balance ²	-7.4	-5.3	-5.2	-3.1	-2.5	-2.1	-1.6	5.8
Structural balance³	-7.4	-5.3	-5.2	-3.1	-2.5	-2.1	-1.6	5.8
<i>Change in structural balance</i>		2.1	2.2	2.3	2.7	0.5	0.5	
Structural primary balance ³	-4.7	-2.6	-2.4	-0.3	0.3	0.6	1.0	5.7
<i>Change in structural primary balance</i>		2.1	2.3	2.2	2.7	0.4	0.4	
Notes:								
¹ On a no-policy-change basis.								
² Output gap (in % of potential GDP) and cyclically-adjusted balance according to the programme as recalculated by Commission services on the basis of the information in the programme.								
³ Structural (primary) balance = cyclically-adjusted (primary) balance excluding one-off and other temporary measures.								
Source:								
Convergence programme (CP); Commission services' spring 2011 forecasts (COM); Commission services' calculations								

Table IV. Debt dynamics

(% of GDP)	average 2005-09	2010	2011		2012		2013	2014
			COM	CP	COM	CP	CP	CP
Gross debt ratio¹	47.6	55.0	55.4	54.9	55.1	54.1	52.4	50.8
Change in the ratio	1.0	4.1	0.4	-0.2	-0.3	-0.8	-1.7	-1.6
<i>Contributions²:</i>								
1. Primary balance	1.6	5.2	3.0	2.8	0.9	0.2	-0.3	-0.6
2. "Snow-ball" effect	-0.8	0.1	-0.9	-0.9	-0.9	-0.7	-0.3	-0.5
<i>Of which:</i>								
Interest expenditure	2.5	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.6
Growth effect	-2.0	-1.8	-2.1	-2.1	-1.9	-2.1	-1.9	-1.9
Inflation effect	-1.3	-0.7	-1.6	-1.7	-1.7	-1.3	-1.2	-1.2
3. Stock-flow adjustment	0.3	-1.2	-1.7	-2.0	-0.3	-0.3	-1.0	-0.4
<i>Of which:</i>								
Cash/accruals diff.	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0
Acc. financial assets	0.5	-1.0	-1.5	-1.5	0.3	0.3	-0.8	-0.4
<i>Privatisation</i>	-0.3	-1.8	-0.5	-0.5	-0.3	-0.3	-0.1	-0.1
Val. effect & residual	0.0	0.0	-4.1	-4.1	-4.3	-4.3	-3.6	-3.3
Notes:								
¹ End of period.								
² The snow-ball effect captures the impact of interest expenditure on accumulated debt, as well as the impact of real GDP growth and inflation on the debt ratio (through the denominator). The stock-flow adjustment includes differences in cash and accrual accounting, accumulation of financial assets and valuation and other residual effects.								
Source:								
<i>Convergence programme (CP); Commission services' spring 2011 forecasts (COM); Commission services' calculations</i>								

Table V. Long-term sustainability indicators

Poland	Baseline scenario (2010)			Programme scenario		
	S1	S2		S1	S2	
Value	6.1	6.0		-0.1		-0.1
<i>of which:</i>						
Initial budgetary position (IBP)	6.0	5.9		-0.1		-0.2
Debt requirement in 2060 (DR)	0.1	-		-0.1		-
Long-term change in the primary balance (LTC)	0.1	0.1		0.1		0.1
	2010	2015	2020	2010	2015	2020
Debt as % of GDP	55.1	69.4	81.8	55.1	49.2	41.7

Note: The 'baseline' scenario (2010) depicts the sustainability gap under the assumption that the 2010 budgetary position remains unchanged over the medium-term (until the end of the period covered by the programme). The 'programme' scenario depicts the sustainability gap under the assumption that the budgetary plans of the programme are fully implemented.

Figure. Medium term debt projection



Table VI. Financial sector indicators

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Total assets of the banking sector (% of GDP)	64.1	67.5	74.8	82.9	84.1
Share of assets of the five largest banks (% of total assets)	46.1	46.6	44.2	43.9	...
Foreign ownership of banking system (% of total assets)	69.0	71.2	71.8	67.6	...
Financial soundness indicators:					
- non-performing loans (% of total loans)	7.4	5.2	4.5	8.0	8.8
- capital adequacy ratio (%) ¹⁾	13.2	12.0	11.2	13.3	13.8
- profitability - return on equity (%) ²⁾	22.2	24.9	20.5	10.4	12.7
Private credit growth (annual % change)	19.5	35.3	40.5	0.6	14.2
Residential property prices (y-o-y % change)
Exposure to countries receiving/repaying official financial assistance (% of GDP) ³⁾
Private debt (% of GDP)	35.1	43.5	43.7	54.9	54.7
Gross external debt (% of GDP)					
- Public	19.3	17.6	14.1	19.1	22.9
- Private	22.2	23.9	24.4	27.9	26.5
Long term interest rates spread versus Bund (basis points)*	146.9	126.8	208.8	289.8	303.8
Credit default swap spreads for sovereign securities (5-year)*	226.0	190.8	129.6
Notes:					
¹⁾ The capital adequacy ratio is defined as total capital divided by risk weighted assets.					
²⁾ Net income to equity ratio. After extraordinary items and taxes. Accumulated income of the last 12 months. Tier 1 capital. Branches of foreign banks are excluded.					
³⁾ Covered countries are IE, EL, PT, RO, LV and HU.					
* Measured in basis points.					
Source:					
Bank for International Settlements and Eurostat (exposure to macro-financially vulnerable countries), IMF (financial soundness indicators), Commission services (long-term interest rates), World Bank (gross external debt) and ECB (all other indicators).					

Table VII. Labour market and social indicators

Labour market indicators	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Employment rate (% of population aged 20 - 64)	58.3	60.1	62.7	65.0	64.9	64.6
Employment growth (% change from previous year)	2.2	3.2	4.4	3.8	0.3	0.4
Employment rate of women (% of female population aged 20 - 64)	51.7	53.1	55.5	57.3	57.6	57.7
Employment rate of men (% of male population aged 20 - 64)	65.1	67.3	70.2	73.0	72.6	71.6
Employment rate of older workers (% of population aged 55 - 64)	27.2	28.1	29.7	31.6	32.3	34.0
Part-time employment (% of total employment)	10.8	9.8	9.2	8.5	8.4	8.3
Fixed term employment (% of employees with a fixed term contract)	25.7	27.3	28.2	27.0	26.5	27.3
Unemployment rate ¹ (% of labour force)	17.8	13.9	9.6	7.1	8.2	9.6
Long-term unemployment ² (% of labour force)	10.3	7.8	4.9	2.4	2.5	3.0
Youth unemployment rate (% of youth labour force aged 15-24)	36.9	29.8	21.7	17.3	20.6	23.7
Youth NEET ³ rate (% of population aged 15-24)	13.9	12.6	10.6	9.0	10.1	:
Early leavers from education and training (% of pop. 18-24 with at most lower sec. educ. and not in further education or training)	5.3	5.4	5.0	5.0	5.3	:
Tertiary educational attainment (% of population 30-34 having successfully completed tertiary education)	22.7	24.7	27.0	29.7	32.8	:
Labour productivity per person employed (annual % change)	1.4	2.9	2.3	1.3	1.3	3.4
Hours worked per person employed (annual % change)	-0.2	0.0	0.0	-0.4	-2.2	2.1
Labour productivity per hour worked (annual % change; constant prices)	1.5	2.9	2.3	1.7	3.6	1.3
Compensation per employee (annual % change; constant prices)	-0.9	0.3	0.9	5.7	-0.7	3.4
Nominal unit labour cost growth (annual % change)	0.3	-1.1	2.6	7.5	1.6	0.6
Real unit labour cost growth (annual % change)	-2.3	-2.5	-1.3	4.3	-2.0	-0.6
Notes:						
¹ According to ILO definition, age group 15-74)						
² Share of persons in the labour force who have been unemployed for at least 12 months.						
³ NEET are persons that are neither in employment nor in any education or training.						
Sources:						
Commission services (EU Labour Force Survey and European National Accounts)						

Table VII. Labour market and social indicators (continued)

Expenditure on social protection benefits (% of GDP)	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Sickness/Health care	3.81	3.81	3.84	3.93	4.45
Invalidity	2.25	2.05	1.88	1.70	1.60
Old age and survivors	11.74	11.42	11.52	10.71	10.85
Family/Children	0.89	0.84	0.82	0.79	0.73
Unemployment	0.67	0.63	0.57	0.40	0.35
Housing and Social exclusion n.e.c.	0.30	0.48	0.33	0.26	0.22
Total	19.7	19.2	19.0	17.8	18.2
of which: Means tested benefits	1.02	1.23	0.99	0.90	0.78
Social inclusion indicators	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Risk-of-poverty or exclusion ¹ (% of total population)	45.3	39.5	34.4	30.5	27.8
Risk-of-poverty or exclusion of children (% of people aged 0-17)	48.0	42.0	37.1	32.9	31.0
Risk-of-poverty or exclusion of elderly (% of people aged 65+)	39.3	32.5	27.3	26.9	25.8
At-Risk-of-Poverty rate ² (% of total population)	20.5	19.1	17.3	16.9	17.1
Value of relative poverty threshold (single HH per year) - in PPS	2856	3060	3360	4044	4428
Severe Material Deprivation ³ (% of total population)	33.8	27.6	22.3	17.7	15.0
Share of people living in low work intensity households ⁴ (% of people aged 0-59 not student)	14.2	12.3	10.0	7.9	6.9
In-work at-risk-of poverty rate (% of persons employed)	13.8	12.8	11.7	11.5	11.0
Notes:					
¹ People at-risk-of poverty or social exclusion (AROPE): individuals who are at-risk-of poverty (AROP) and/or suffering from severe material deprivation (SMD) and/or living in household with zero or very low work intensity (LWI).					
² At-risk-of poverty rate: share of people with an equivalised disposable income below 60% of the national equivalised median income.					
³ Share of people who experience at least 4 out of 9 deprivations: people cannot afford to i) pay their rent or utility bills, ii) keep their home adequately warm, iii) face unexpected expenses, iv) eat meat, fish, or a protein equivalent every second day, v) enjoy a week of holiday away from home once a year, vi) have a car, vii) have a washing machine, viii) have a colour tv, or ix) have a telephone					
⁴ People living in households with very low work intensity: Share of people aged 0-59 living in households where the adults work less than 20% of their total work-time potential during the previous 12 months.					
Sources:					
For expenditure for social protection benefits ESSPROS; for social inclusion EU-SILC.					

Table VIII. Product market performance and policy indicators

Performance indicators	2001-2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Labour productivity ¹ total economy (annual growth in %)	4.3	2.6	2.2	1.3	1.4	n.a.
Labour productivity ¹ in manufacturing (annual growth in %)	4.9	10.2	7.0	4.0	1.7	n.a.
Labour productivity ¹ in electricity, gas, water (annual growth in %)	5.1	-7.2	3.2	-8.6	-5.5	n.a.
Labour productivity ¹ in the construction sector (annual growth in %)	-0.9	2.2	-3.2	-9.4	10.5	n.a.
Patent intensity in manufacturing ² (patents of the EPO divided by gross value added of the sector)	0.2	0.3	0.2	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Policy indicators	2001-2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Enforcing contracts ³ (days)	n.a.	980	830	830	830	830
Time to start a business ³ (days)	n.a.	31	31	31	32	32
R&D expenditure (% of GDP)	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	n.a.
Tertiary educational attainment (% of 30-34 years old population)	17.6	24.7	27.0	29.7	32.8	n.a.
Total public expenditure on education (% of GDP)	5.4	5.3	4.9	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
	2003	2005	2006	2008	2009	2010
Product market regulation ⁴ , Overall (Index; 0=not regulated; 6=most regulated)	3.0	n.a.	n.a.	2.3	n.a.	n.a.
Product market regulation ⁴ , Retail (Index; 0=not regulated; 6=most regulated)	3.2	n.a.	n.a.	3.2	n.a.	n.a.
Product market regulation ⁴ , Network Industries ⁶ (Index; 0=not regulated; 6=most regulated)	3.1	2.4	2.4	2.3*	n.a.	n.a.
Notes:						
¹ Labour productivity is defined as gross value added (in constant prices) divided by the number of persons employed.						
² Patent data refer to applications designated to the European Patent Office (EPO). They are counted according to the year in which they were filed at the EPO. They are broken down according to the inventor's place of residence, using fractional counting if multiple inventors or IPC classes are provided to avoid double counting.						
³ The methodologies, including the assumptions, of this indicator is presented in detail at the website http://www.doingbusiness.org/methodology .						
⁴ The methodologies of the Product market regulation indicators are presented in detail at the website http://www.oecd.org/document/1/0,3746,en_2649_34323_2367297_1_1_1_1,00.html . The latest available product market regulation indicators refer to 2003 and 2008, except for Network Industries.						
⁶ Aggregate ETCR.						
*figure for 2007.						
Source:						
Commission services, World Bank Doing Business (for enforcing contracts and time to start a business) and OECD (for the product market regulation indicators).						