EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM

1. CONTEXT OF THE PROPOSAL

• Reasons for and objectives of the proposal

The aim of the Hague Convention of 25 October 1980 on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction (hereafter: "the 1980 Convention"), to date ratified by 97 countries, including all EU Member States, is to restore the status quo by mean of the prompt return of wrongfully removed or retained children through a system of cooperation among central authorities appointed by its Contracting Parties.

As the prevention of child abduction is an essential part of the EU policy to promote the rights of the child, the European Union is active at international level to improve the application of the 1980 Convention and encourages third States to accede it.

Panama deposited the accession instrument to the 1980 Convention on 2 February 1994. The Convention entered into force in Panama on 1 May 1994.

The 1980 Convention is already into force between Panama and 26 EU Member States. Only Austria and Romania have not yet accepted the accession of Panama to the Convention.

Uruguay deposited the accession instrument to the 1980 Convention on 18 November 1999. The Convention entered into force in Uruguay on 1 February 2000.

The 1980 Convention is already into force between Uruguay and 25 Member States. Only Austria, Denmark and Romania have not yet accepted the accession of Uruguay to the Convention.

Colombia deposited the accession instrument to the 1980 Convention on 13 December 1995. The Convention entered into force in Colombia on 1 March 1996.

The 1980 Convention is already into force between Colombia and 25 EU Member States. Only Austria, Denmark and Romania have not yet accepted the accession of Colombia to the Convention.

El Salvador deposited the accession instrument to the 1980 Convention on 5 February 2001. The Convention entered into force in El Salvador on 1 May 2001.

The 1980 Convention is already into force between El Salvador and 25 EU Member States. Only Austria, Denmark and Romania have not yet accepted the accession of El Salvador to the Convention.

Article 38(4) of the 1980 Convention stipulates that the Convention applies between the acceding country and such Contracting States as will have declared their acceptance of the accession.

The existence of the EU exclusive competence in the matter of the acceptance of the accession of a third State to the 1980 Convention was confirmed by the Court of Justice of the European Union, which was consulted at the Commission's initiative.

On 14 October 2014, Opinion 1/13 of the Court of Justice of the European Union stated that the exclusive competence of the European Union encompasses the acceptance of the accession of a third state to the 1980 Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction.

The Court insisted on the need for uniformity on the matter at EU level, avoiding a *géométrie variable* among Member States.

As the matter of international child abduction falls within the exclusive external competence of the European Union, the decision whether to accept the accession of the accession of Panama, Uruguay, Colombia and El Salvador has to be taken at EU level by means of a Council Decision. Austria and Romania should thus make the declaration of acceptance concerning the accession of Panama, Uruguay, Colombia and El Salvador in the interest of the European Union.

The acceptance of Austria and Romania would render the 1980 Convention applicable between Uruguay, Colombia, El Salvador and all EU Member States except Denmark. In relation to Panama the 1980 Convention will become applicable with all EU Member States.

• Consistency with existing policy provisions in the policy area

As far as parental child abduction is concerned, the 1980 Hague Convention is the international counterpart of Council Regulation No 2201/2003 (known as the Brussels IIa Regulation) which is the cornerstone of EU judicial cooperation in matrimonial matters and matters of parental responsibility[[1]](#footnote-1).

One of the main objectives of the Regulation is to deter child abduction between Member States by establishing procedures to ensure the child's prompt return to the Member State of his/her habitual residence. To this end, the Brussels IIa Regulation incorporates in its Article 11 the procedure laid down in the 1980 Hague Convention and complements it by clarifying some of its aspects, in particular the hearing of the child, the time period to render a decision after an application for return has been lodged and the grounds for not returning the child. It also introduces provisions governing conflicting return and non-return orders issued in different Member States.

At the international level, the European Union supports the accession of third States to the 1980 Convention in order for its Member States to rely upon a common legal framework to deal with international child abductions.

Ten Council Decisions have been already adopted between June 2015 and December 2016 in order to accept the accession to the 1980 Hague Convention on International Child Abduction of 10 third countries (Morocco, Singapore, the Russian Federation, Albania, Andorra, the Seychelles, Armenia, the Republic of Korea, Kazakhstan and Peru)[[2]](#footnote-2).

• Consistency with other Union policies

The present proposal is evidently linked to the general objective enshrined in Article 3 of the Treaty on the European Union to protect the rights of the child. The 1980 Hague Convention system is designed to protect the child from the harmful effects of a parental abduction and ensure that the child is able to maintain contact with both parents, for instance by securing the effective exercise of access rights.

It is worth mentioning also the link to the promotion of the use of mediation in the settlement of cross-border family disputes. The Directive on certain aspects of mediation in civil and commercial matters[[3]](#footnote-3) also applies to family law within the common European judicial area. The 1980 Hague Convention also encourages the amicable resolution of family disputes. One of the Guides to Good Practise under the 1980 Hague Convention published by the Hague Conference on Private International Law is devoted to the use of mediation for the resolution of international family disputes concerning children which fall within the scope of the Convention. At the initiative of the European Commission, this Guide has been translated in all EU languages other than English and French and also in Arabic to support the dialogue with States which have not yet ratified the Convention and help finding concrete ways to tackle the problems posed by international child abduction with non-ratification countries[[4]](#footnote-4).

2. LEGAL BASIS, SUBSIDIARITY AND PROPORTIONALITY

• Legal basis

Given the fact that the decision regards an international agreement, the applicable legal basis is Article 218 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union together with Article 81(3). The Council shall act unanimously after consulting the European Parliament.

The United Kingdom and Ireland are bound by Regulation (EC) No 2201/2003 and are therefore taking part in the adoption and application of this Decision.

In accordance with Articles 1 and 2 of Protocol No 22 on the position of Denmark, annexed to the Treaty on European Union and to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, Denmark is not taking part in the adoption of this Decision and is not bound by it or subject to its application.

• Proportionality

The present proposal is drafted along the lines of the already adopted Council Decisions on the same subject matter and does not go beyond what is necessary to achieve the objective of a coherent EU action in the matter of international child abduction by ensuring that Austria and Romania accepts the accession of Panama, Uruguay, Colombia and El Salvador to the 1980 Hague Convention within a given time frame.

3. RESULTS OF EX-POST EVALUATIONS, STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATIONS AND IMPACT ASSESSMENTS

• Stakeholder consultations

Austria and Romania, consulted by the Commission on their willingness to accept the accession of Panama, Uruguay, Colombia and El Salvador to the 1980 Convention, gave a favourable opinion.

The discussions held at the expert meeting of 24 April 2017 showed that -at this stage- there are no objections from the Member States to the acceptance by Austria and Romania of the accession of Panama, Uruguay, Colombia and El Salvador to the 1980 Convention.

• Collection and use of expertise

Given that the Convention is already into force with 26 Member States in relation to Panama and with 25 Member States in relation to Uruguay, Colombia and El Salvador, it was the opinion of the Commission and of the Member States' experts that, in such cases, there is no need of a specific assessment of the situation of the third country.

The Member States' experts did not report any problems in the application of the 1980 Convention with respect to Panama, Uruguay, Colombia and El Salvador.

• Impact assessment

As for the ten Council Decisions already adopted between 2015 and 2016 concerning the acceptance of the accession of several third States to the 1980 Hague Convention, no specific impact assessment has been carried out given the nature of this legislative act. In any case, a specific assessment of the situation of Panama, Uruguay, Colombia and El Salvador was deemed superfluous taking into account both the fact that the Convention is already into force with 26 or 25 EU Member States and the willingness of Austria and Romania to accept the accession of Panama, Uruguay, Colombia and El Salvador.

4. BUDGETARY IMPLICATIONS

The proposed decision has no budgetary implications.

5. OTHER ELEMENTS

• Implementation plans and monitoring, evaluation and reporting arrangements

As the proposal concerns only the authorization to Austria and Romania to accept the accession of Panama, Uruguay, Colombia and El Salvador to the 1980 Convention, the monitoring of its implementation is limited to the respect by Austria and Romania of the wording of the declaration and the timeframe to deposit it and communicate its deposit to the Commission as established in the Council Decision.

2017/0153 (NLE)

Proposal for a

COUNCIL DECISION

authorizing Austria and Romania to accept, in the interest of the European Union, the accession of Panama, Uruguay, Colombia and El Salvador to the 1980 Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction

THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 81(3) in conjunction with Article 218 thereof,

Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,

Having regard to the opinion of the European Parliament[[5]](#footnote-5),

Whereas:

(1) The European Union has set as one of its aims the promotion of the protection of the rights of the child, as stated in Article 3 of the Treaty on European Union. Measures for the protection of children against wrongful removal or retention are an essential part of that policy.

(2) The Council adopted Regulation (EC) No 2201/2003 (‘Brussels IIa Regulation’), which aims to protect children from the harmful effects of wrongful removal or retention and to establish procedures to ensure their prompt return to the state of their habitual residence, as well as to secure the protection of rights of access and rights of custody.

(3) The Brussels IIa Regulation complements and reinforces the Hague Convention of 25 October 1980 on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction (‘the 1980 Hague Convention’) which establishes, at international level, a system of obligations and cooperation among contracting states and between central authorities and aims to ensure the prompt return of wrongfully removed or retained children.

(4) All Member States of the Union are party to the 1980 Hague Convention.

(5) The Union encourages third states to accede to the 1980 Hague Convention and supports the correct implementation of the 1980 Hague Convention by participating, along with the Member States, inter alia, in the special commissions organised on a regular basis by the Hague Conference on private international law.

(6) A common legal framework applicable between Member States of the Union and third states could be the best solution to sensitive cases of international child abduction.

(7) The 1980 Hague Convention stipulates that it applies between the acceding state and such contracting states as have declared their acceptance of the accession.

(8) The 1980 Hague Convention does not allow regional economic integration organisations such as the Union to become party to it. Therefore, the Union cannot accede to that Convention, nor can it deposit a declaration of acceptance of an acceding state.

(9) Pursuant to Opinion 1/13 of the Court of Justice of the European Union, declarations of acceptance under the 1980 Hague Convention fall within the exclusive external competence of the Union.

(10) Panama deposited the accession instrument to the 1980 Convention on 2 February 1994. The Convention entered into force in Panama on 1 May 1994.

(11) All concerned Member States, with the exception of Austria and Romania, have already accepted the accession of Panama to the 1980 Hague Convention. Panama has accepted Bulgaria, Cyprus, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Malta and Slovenia. An assessment of the situation in Panama has led to the conclusion that Austria and Romania are in a position to accept, in the interest of the Union, the accession of Panama under the terms of the 1980 Hague Convention.

(12) Uruguay deposited the accession instrument to the 1980 Convention on 18 November 1999. The Convention entered into force in Uruguay on 1 February 2000.

(13) All concerned Member States, with the exception of Austria and Romania, have already accepted the accession of Uruguay to the 1980 Hague Convention. Uruguay has accepted Bulgaria, Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania. An assessment of the situation in Uruguay has led to the conclusion that Austria and Romania are in a position to accept, in the interest of the Union, the accession of Uruguay under the terms of the 1980 Hague Convention.

(14) Colombia deposited the accession instrument to the 1980 Convention on 13 December 1995. The Convention entered into force in Colombia on 1 March 1996.

(15) All concerned Member States, with the exception of Austria and Romania, have already accepted the accession of Colombia to the 1980 Hague Convention. Colombia has accepted Bulgaria, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania and Malta. An assessment of the situation in Colombia has led to the conclusion that Austria and Romania are in a position to accept, in the interest of the Union, the accession of Colombia under the terms of the 1980 Hague Convention.

(16) El Salvador deposited the accession instrument to the 1980 Convention on 5 February 2001. The Convention entered into force in El Salvador on 1 May 2001.

(17) All concerned Member States, with the exception of Austria and Romania, have already accepted the accession of El Salvador to the 1980 Hague Convention. El Salvador has accepted Bulgaria, Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania. An assessment of the situation in El Salvador has led to the conclusion that Austria and Romania are in a position to accept, in the interest of the Union, the accession of El Salvador under the terms of the 1980 Hague Convention.

(18) Austria and Romania should therefore be authorised to deposit their declaration of acceptance of the accession of Panama, Uruguay, Colombia and El Salvador in the interest of the Union in accordance with the terms set out in this Decision. The other Member States of the Union which have already accepted the accession of Panama, Uruguay, Colombia and El Salvador to the 1980 Hague Convention should not deposit new declarations of acceptance as the existing declarations remain valid under public international law.

(19) The United Kingdom and Ireland are bound by the Brussels IIa Regulation and are taking part in the adoption and application of this Decision.

(20) In accordance with Articles 1 and 2 of Protocol No 22 on the position of Denmark, annexed to the Treaty on European Union and to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, Denmark is not taking part in the adoption of this Decision and is not bound by it or subject to its application,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

1. Austria and Romania are hereby authorised to accept the accession of Panama, Uruguay, Colombia and El Salvador to the Hague Convention of 25 October 1980 on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction (‘the 1980 Hague Convention’) in the interest of the Union.

2. Austria and Romania shall, no later than … [twelve months after the date of adoption of this Decision], deposit a declaration of acceptance of the accession of Panama, Uruguay, Colombia and El Salvador to the 1980 Hague Convention in the interest of the Union worded as follows:

‘[Full name of MEMBER STATE] declares that it accepts the accession of Panama, Uruguay, Colombia and El Salvador to the Hague Convention of 25 October 1980 on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction, in accordance with Council Decision (EU) 2017/…**[[6]](#footnote-6)\***’.

3. Austria and Romania shall inform the Council and the Commission of the deposit of its declaration of acceptance of the accession of Panama, Uruguay, Colombia and El Salvador and communicate to the Commission the text of the declaration within two months of its deposit.

Article 2

Those Member States which deposited their declarations of acceptance of the accession of Panama, Uruguay, Colombia and El Salvador to the 1980 Hague Convention prior to the date of adoption of this Decision, shall not deposit new declarations.

Article 3

This Decision shall enter into force on the day following that of its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

Article 4

This Decision is addressed to Austria and Romania.

Done at Brussels,

 For the Council

 The President
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