

Brussels, 15.11.2017 SWD(2017) 286 final/2

CORRIGENDUM

This document corrects document SWD(2017) 286 final of 30.8.2017. The values of some indicators are corrected (8.11.2017) on pages 4, 7 and 8. The text shall read as follows:

COMMISSION STAFF WORKING DOCUMENT

Roma integration indicators scoreboard (2011-2016)

Accompanying the document

Communication to the European Parliament and the Council

Midterm review of the EU framework for national Roma integration strategies

{COM(2017) 458 final}

EN EN

COMMISSION STAFF WORKING DOCUMENT

Roma integration indicators scoreboard (2011-2016)

Accompanying the document

Communication to the European Parliament and the Council

Midterm review of the EU framework for national Roma integration strategies

This scoreboard presents changes in the situation of Roma in nine EU Member States¹ as recorded by two FRA surveys in 2011 and in 2016. In 2016, the <u>Second European Union Minorities and Discrimination Survey (EU-MIDIS II)</u>² collected information on the situation of Roma in Bulgaria, Croatia, the Czechia, Greece, Hungary, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia and Spain. The <u>2011 Roma survey</u>³ covered the same countries, apart from Croatia. However, information on the situation in Croatia was collected in the <u>UNDP/World Bank/EC 2011</u> Regional Roma survey.⁴

The surveys were all carried out using a similar methodology, applying a multi-stage selection of respondents. To optimise the sampling approach, EU-MIDIS II refined the methodology applied in 2011. Despite the similar approaches, the surveys are subject to some **limitations as to their direct comparability**. In 2017, the FRA attempted to address the limitations as to the comparability of the surveys. Given the relative similarity of the unweighted samples of the 2011 and 2016 surveys for the nine Member States, the 2011 sample was weighted to reflect the differences between those two surveys as regards regional coverage and the urban nature of surveyed localities. For Croatia, the same approach was applied to the dataset from the UNDP/World Bank/EC survey.

The scoreboard presents 18 **indicators** in four main thematic areas (education, housing, employment and health) and the cross-cutting area of poverty. It also presents average values for the Member States in question. For 2011, the average does not include Croatia, which at that time was not a Member State. The caveats that need to be considered when analysing values for 2011 and 2016 are provided alongside each indicator.

All sample surveys are affected by sampling error, as the interviews cover only a fraction of the total population. Therefore, **all results presented are point estimates underlying statistical variation**. Small differences of a few percentage points between groups of respondents are to be interpreted within the range of statistical variation and only more substantial divergence between population groups should be considered as evidence of actual differences. A difference of a few percentage points between the 2011 and 2016 values may be assessed as 'no change'.

.

The distribution and density of Roma populations differ across Member States and a random sampling method as used in EU-MIDIS II is not always possible. Different data collection methods are needed for the countries not covered by the survey and these will be covered by the FRA's Roma data collection exercise in 2018 (using specific quantitative or qualitative methods).

http://fra.europa.eu/en/publication/2016/eumidis-ii-roma-selected-findings

http://fra.europa.eu/en/survey/2012/roma-pilot-survey

http://www.eurasia.undp.org/content/rbec/en/home/ourwork/sustainable-development/development-planning-and-inclusive-sustainable-growth/roma-in-central-and-southeast-europe/roma-data.html

The trends between 2011 and 2016 are visualized graphically. The direction of the arrows in the tables visualises the increase or decrease of indicator's value and the colour reflects the plausability of the change (whether an increase/decrease is desirable or not). For example, increasing the share of children enrolled in compulsory education is desirable (marked in green) whilst increase in the share of youth not in employment, education or training is undesirable (marked in red). Orange "wave" marks no change.

Results based on a small number of responses are statistically less reliable. Therefore, results based on less than 20 to 49 unweighted observations in a group total or based on cells with less than 20 unweighted observations are noted in parentheses. Results based on less than 20 unweighted observations in a group total are not published.

											Ron	na inte	gration	indica	tors sc	oreboa	rd 2011	-2016 - E	DUCA	TION											
		BG			CZ			EL			ES			HR			HU			PT			RO			SK			Average		Notes
	2011	change	2016	2011	change	2016	2011	change	2016	2011	change	2016	2011	change	2016	2011	change	2016 2	2011	change	2016										
Share of children 4-age up to starting compulsory primary education age who attend early childhood education, household members (%)	43	1	66	29	~	34	8	•	28	77	1	95	13	1	32	81	•	91	54	•	42	46	Ψ	38	23	•	34	47	•		Age for starting compulsory primary education as well as for compulsory schooling age valid for a given country in given year (European Commission/EACEA/Eurydice (2011 and 2015)).
Share of compulsory-schooling-age children attending education, household members, 5-17 (depending on the country) (%)	88	~	91	93	•	98	56	1	69	95	~	99	84	1	94	94	~	98	81	1	90	81	~	78	93	~	94	86	↑	90	Age is calculated on annual basis, hence the figures do not consider earlier or delayed start in primary education of an individual child. Updated value for RO in 2016.
Early leavers from education and training, household members, 18-24 (%)	82	•	67	72	¥	57	96	~	92	95	•	70	71	0	68	78	¥	68	97	•	90	91	4	77	80	•	58	87	Ψ		Share of the population aged 18-24 years having attaine at most lower secondary education (ISCED 2011 levels 0, or 2) and not being involved in further education or training.
Share of people who felt being discriminated because of being Roma in the past 5 years, when in contact with school (as parent or student), respondents, 16+ (%)	9	~	6	33	¥	19	31	¥	20	11	~	15	17	1	22	16	~	15	13	~	13	15	¥	10	16	~	16	17	~	14	
Share of Roma children, 6-15 years old, attending classes where 'all classmates are Roma' as reported by the respondents, household members 6-15 in education (%)	16	1	29	6	~	6	8	~	13	3	~	4	n.a.	n.a.	22	7	~	10	3	↑	11	10	~	10	20	↑	25	10	↑	15	Comparability 2011 and 2016 is limited due to difference in formulation of question.

↑ **\Psi** - Improvement; \frown - no change; ↑ **\Psi** - deterioration.

											-	Roma i	ntegra	tion ir	ndicato	rs scor	eboard	2011-2	2016 - E	MPLO	MENT											
		BG			CZ			E	L			ES			HR			HU			PT			RO			SK			Average		Notes
	2011	change	2016	2011	chang	ge 2016	6 20:	l1 cha	nge 20	16 20)11 ch	ange 2	2016	2011	change	2016	2011	change	2016	2011	change	2016	2011	change	2016	2011	change	2016	2011	change	2016	
Share of people who self-declared main activity status 'paid work' (including full-time, part-time, ad hoc jobs, self-employment), household members, 16+ (%)	29	¥	23	32	~	29	40) ^	→ 4	3 2	21	V	16	14	•	8	25	↑	36	14	↑	34	28	~	28	20	~	20	26	~	25	Main activity is a sking all household members for the current status in regard to employment. It is distinct for the ILO concept of employment and the one used in the Labour Force Survey (variable MAINSTAT). "Employment" also includes small amounts of unpaid work in family businesses, as this is for the family's gain.
Share of young persons, 16-24 years old with current main activity neither in employment, education or training, household members (%)	61	~	65	43	•	51	6:	. ^	~ €	60 7	71	↑	77	72	~	77	38	•	51	79	¥	52	58	↑	64	44	↑	65	56	↑	63	Based on the self-declared current main activity, excluding those who did any work in the previous four weeks to earn some money.
Share of people who felt being discriminated accause of being Roma in the past 5 years, when ooking for a job, respondents, 16+ (%)	39	¥	21	71	•	61	67	7 ^	∨ 6	3 3	35	~	34	37	↑	50	49	¥	33	58	↑	76	33	~	34	49	~	53	50	Ψ	40	
Share of people who felt being discriminated because of being Roma in the past 5 years, when at work, respondents, 16+ (%)	15	~	11	36	¥	17	30) 1	3	8 1	18	↑	23	29	•	17	17	ψ	11	15	^	40	10	^	19	9	^	18	19	~	17	

↑ **\Psi** - Improvement; \frown - no change; ↑ **\Psi** - deterioration.

										-		F	Roma i	ntegra	tion in	dicato	rs scor	reboa	rd 201	1-2016	5 - HEA	LTH			-								
		BG				CZ			EL			ES			HR				HU			PT			RO			SK			Average		Notes
	201	1 change	201	16 20	11 cl	hange	2016	2011	change	2016	2011	change	2016	2011	chang	e 20	16 20	11 ch	hange	2016	2011	change	2016	2011	change	2016	2011	change	2016	2011	change	2016	
Share of people assessing their health in general as 'Very good' or 'Good', respondents, 16+ (%)	53	•	70	55	5	↑	62	67	•	83	62	•	73	65	¥	5	9 4:	9	•	66	52	•	70	45	•	69	60	•	67	55	1	68	
Share of people with medical insurance coverage, respondents, 16+ (%)	43	~	45	5 92	2	4	79	46	↑	79	99	~	98	84	~	8	2 9	7	•	86	98	~	96	51	~	54	92	~	95	78	~	74	Share of Roma, aged 16 years or over, who indicate that they are covered by national basic health insurance and/or additional insurance

 \uparrow \checkmark - Improvement; \frown - no change; \uparrow \checkmark - deterioration.

																		1-2016 -							1	614					I
	2011	BG change	2016	2011	CZ	2016	2011	EL change	2016	2011	ES change	2016	2011	HR change	2016	2011	HU	2016	2011	PT change	2016	2011	RO change	2016	2011	SK change	2016	2011	Average change	2016	Notes
Average number of rooms per person in the household (without kitchen)								~		0.8		0.9						0.6						0.7						0.7	Updated values for 2011 and 2016 for all countries a Average Updated values affect trend for HR , PT and Average
Share of people living in households without tap water inside the dwelling, household members (%)	38	•	23	8	4	2	15	¥	9	2	~	2	42	¥	34	32	~	33	13	~	14	79	•	68	35	Ψ	27	29	~	30	Updated values for 2016 for BG, EL, HU, PT, RO and SK Updated value for 2011 for HR
Share of people living in households having neither collet, nor shower, nor bathroom inside the dwelling, household members (%)	60	¥	44	10	4	4	29	~	29	1	~	1	42	~	41	31	↑	38	20	~	17	84	¥	79	39	¥	29	36	~	38	Updated values for 2016 for BG, EL, HR, HU, PT and R Updated value for 2011 for HR Updated values affect trend for HU
Share of people living in households with electricity supply, household members (%)	93	~	98	94	~	98	88	~	89	99	~	98	92	~	93	96	~	97	87	~	88	87	1	95	91	~	94	92	~	96	Updated values for 2016 for CZ, EL, HR, HU, and PT Updated value for 2011 for HR
Share of people who felt being discriminated because of being Roma in the past 5 years, when looking for housing, respondents, 16+ (%)	(14)		(14)	52	1	65	(42)		44	35	^	45	(19)		53	25	~	22	67	^	75	(29)		(13)	44	Ψ	30	45	~	41	Trends are not possible to provide in cases of si number of observations (flagged in brackets)

↑ **\Psi** - Improvement; \frown - no change; ↑ **\Psi** - deterioration.

														Ro	oma in	tegrat	ion inc	licato	rs scoi	eboa	rd 201	1-2016	- POVI	ERTY												
			BG				CZ			Е	L			ES			HR	l			HU			PT			RO			S	K			Average	•	Notes
	20)11	change	201	6 20	011	change	2016	201	1 chai	nge 2	016	2011	change	2016	2013	Chan	ge 20	016 20	011	change	2016	2011	change	2016	2011	change	201	.6 201	1 cha	nge 2	2016	2011	change	20:	
At-risk-of poverty rate (below 60% of median equivalised income after social transfers), household members (%)	8	36	~	86	8	80	¥	58	83	1	•	96	90	1	98	92	~	, 9	93	80	V	75	96	n.a.	n.a.	78	¥	70	91	^	>	87	86	Ψ	80	At-risk-of-poverty are all persons with an equivalised current monthly disposable household income below th twelfth of the national at-risk-of-poverty threshold 2014 (published by Eurostat). The equivalised disposable income is the total income is a household, after tax and other deductions, divided by the number of household members converted into equalised adults; using the so-called modified OECD equivalence scale (1-0-5-0-3). Updated value for 2011 for HR
Share of persons in households where at least one person had to go hungry to bed at least once in the last month, household members (%)	4	10	•	27	3	31	¥	20	54	1	,	48	14	~	17	38	~	, 3	38	36	•	20	40	n.a.	n.a.	61	¥	32	. 31	_	<u>_</u>	31	38	¥	27	27 Updated value for 2011 for HR