#### COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES



Brussels, 22.12.2006 SEC(2006) 1785

#### COMMISSION STAFF WORKING DOCUMENT

Accompanying document to the

# REPORT FROM THE COMMISSION TO THE COUNCIL AND THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

on the application of Council Regulation (EC) No 2702/1999 on measures to provide information on, and to promote, agricultural products in third countries and

Council Regulation (EC) No 2826/2000 on information and promotion actions for agricultural products on the internal market

**{COM(2006) 855 final}** 

EN EN

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

ANNI	EX $1-$ Implementation of the conclusions of the previous report	3
1.1.	Amendments of the regulations	3
1.2.	Development since the previous report	3
1.	The European dimension of the promotion programmes	3
2.	The quality of the proposals and their evaluation by the Member States	3
3.	Check of the information and promotion material	4
4.	Drafting and transmission to the quarterly and annual report Commission	4
5.	On-the-spot checks, in particular within the framework of the follow-up groups	4
6.	State aid	4
7.	Selection of the implementing bodies	5
8.	Improvement of the effectiveness of the promotion strategy	5
9.	Methods of co-financing of the programmes	5
10.	Determination of eligible themes and products	6
11.	Improvements in administrative management	6
ANNI	EX 2 – Promotion programmes accepted between March 2004 and July 2006: tables ventilated by product sector, according to the duratio and according to the budget	
2.1.	Third countries	7
2.2.	Internal market	13
ANNI	EX 3 – PROGRAMMES ACCEPTED BETWEEN DECEMBER 2001 AND JULY 2006: TABLE VENTILATED BY COUNTRY, BY PRODUCT SECTOR, ACCORDING TO THE DURATION AND ACCORDING TO THE BUDGET	N
3.1.	Third countries	20
3.2.	Internal market	27
Table	of graphs	34

#### ANNEX 1

#### IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONCLUSIONS OF THE PREVIOUS REPORT

#### 1.1. Amendments of the regulations

Following to the recommendations made in the previous report and approved by Parliament and the Council, a number of amendments were brought to European regulation in force:

- Council Regulation (EC) No 2060/2004 amended the Regulations (EC) No 2702/1999 and (EC) No 2826/2000 concerning the actions of information and of promotion, respectively in third countries and on the internal market,
- Commission Regulation (EC) No 1346/2005 replaced Regulation (EC) No 2879/2000 laying down detailed rules for the led actions of information and of promotion in third countries.
- Commission Regulation (EC) No 1071/2005 replaced Regulation (EC) No 94/2002 lying down detailed rules for the led actions of information and of promotion on the internal market.

## 1.2. Development since the previous report

During the reference period, several improvements were made to the management of the programmes, accordingly, in particular, to the conclusions of the previous report. The following sections give a concrete outline of the changes made.

#### 1. The European dimension of the promotion programmes

As in the past, priority was granted to programmes involving more than one Member State both on the internal market and in third countries. In addition, the Member States cooperating under a multi-countries programme have now to choose a coordinating Member State. The setting up of these provisions did not increase the number of multi-countries programmes significantly the percentage of which in number of submitted programmes did not exceed 10% during the reference period.

#### 2. The quality of the proposals and their evaluation by the Member States

In accordance with the guidelines given in the report drawn up in 2004, the methods of evaluation of the quality of the proposals were specified better by various working papers drawn up by the Commission for its own use or placed at the disposal of the national administrations and proposing organisations.

In addition, the reduction of the deadlines of tender of the programmes from 4 to 2 a year, allowed a more detailed evaluation of the programmes and a better respect of the regulatory deadlines, in particular by avoiding the multiplication of the administrative procedures.

#### 3. Check of the information and promotion material

Member States must check the conformity of the promotion material with the Community and national legislations. For the initial period of application of the new regulations governing the promotion actions, the Commission decisions approving programmes foresee that a copy of the finalised material shall be sent for information to the Commission.

This measure was judged necessary due to the public's reactions relating to certain equipment which contained erroneous messages. However, the requirements of the new arrangement at present well being established, this procedure was modified and the Commission departments, from now on, no longer check ex ante the advertising equipment.

#### 4. Drafting and transmission to the quarterly and annual report Commission

The information communicated by the Member States in the Commission, in their quarterly and annual reports, being necessary for the Commission to follow and appreciate the correct running of the programmes, the obligation to provide these reports was maintained in Community legislation.

However, with a view to reducing the administrative workload of the national authorities, it is now foreseen that:

- the quarterly reports have to be sent to the Commission only twice a year;
- the annual report covering the past year can include the quarterly report concerning the fourth quarter.

#### 5. *On-the-spot checks, in particular within the framework of the follow-up groups*

The obligation for the Member States to organise follow-up groups, at the meetings of which the Commission takes part, existed previously for the programmes implemented in third countries. It was extended to the programmes concerning the internal market.

The Commission may carry out any additional checks it considers necessary. Due to budgetary constraints, these controls are often entrusted to the representatives of the Union in the countries and regions concerned. Those report then to the Commission services.

Regarding the monitoring of the actions on the spot, the obligation was introduced into the specimen contract, under penalty of non-eligibility of expenditure, to point out, before each quarter, the actions envisaged during the quarter in question.

#### 6. State aid

A provision was introduced into Community legislation envisaging that Articles 87, 88 and 89 of the Treaty relating to the State aid do not apply to the financial contributions of the Member States, or to the financial contributions coming from Para fiscal revenues or from obligatory contributions of the Member States and/or proposing organisations in the

case of information or promotion programmes which can benefit from Community cofinancing under the regulatory provisions referred to above.

#### 7. Selection of the implementing bodies

The rule according to which the implementing bodies have to be selected within the framework of a procedure of competition was maintained. However, Community legislation envisages, from now on, for the proposing organisation, the two following possibilities:

- it can, under certain conditions, carry out itself a part of the actions envisaged in the programme;
- the implementation body can be selected after the transmission of the programme to the Commission, until the signature of the contract.

#### 8. *Improvement of the effectiveness of the promotion strategy*

The possibility for the Union of financing 100% of the budget of information campaigns was extended for the internal market to the community systems relating to the protected designation of origin (PDO), to the protected geographical indications (PGI), to the traditional speciality guaranteed (TSG) and to organic production, and to other community systems concerning the quality standards, the labelling of the agricultural products and of food and the graphic symbols envisaged in the Community legislation.

Moreover, regulation permits now the Commission:

- to launch promotion and information programmes in the third countries for sectors, products or topics which have a pan-European importance,
- to fix lower limits and/or higher than the cost of the programmes.

Regulation envisages now also the obligation, for the proposing organisations, to envisage and carry out evaluation actions of their programmes, the expenses of which are eligible for co-financing by the EU, to 3% of the total cost of the actions.

#### 9. *Methods of co-financing of the programmes*

The level of the Community contribution was fixed at 50% both for the total duration of each programme and for each year of its implementation. The decreasing percentages of Community co-financing for the multi-annual programmes were suppressed.

Regarding the participation of the Member States, the possibility has been introduced of a zero financial contribution, while remaining responsible for supervision and the control of this programme. Similarly, if there is absence of proposal for programmes in a given sector, a Member State can introduce a programme for this sector. This programme is then co financed 50-50 by this Member State and the Community.

#### 10. Determination of eligible themes and products

Both Commission Regulations regarding respectively the internal market and third countries include now, in their annexes, a list of the eligible themes and products – and, for the third countries, eligible destinations – which is regularly updated.

## 11. Improvements in administrative management

Since the adoption of the last report, significant improvements have been introduced:

- all documents concerning programme selection and management have been examined and improved. They have been adapted to the existing regulation and enriched by a more precise description of the actions and the budget of the programme;
- a database entitled "management of promotion programmes" (MPP), which has been in preparation for several years, is now operational, allowing in particular a better monitoring of the actions and budget of the running programmes. This database also allows better use of the statistical data of the sector and their presentation in developed written forms.

# **ANNEX 2**


Promotion programmes accepted between March 2004 and July 2006: tables ventilated by product sector, according to the duration and according to the budget

# 2.1. Third countries

Table 2.1.1 – Accepted programmes by proposing Member State (third countries – from 2004)

	Budget	(EUR million)	No of programmes
Member State	Total	EU co-financing	approved
Cyprus	4.5	2.2	2
Finland	0.8	0.4	1
Greece	15.8	7.9	8
Italy	26.4	13.1	19
Lithuania	2.9	1.4	1
Multi MS	19,5	9.7	7
Netherlands	2.6	1.3	3
Poland	1.8	0.9	1
Portugal	5.3	2.7	4
Total	79.5	39.7	46

Graph 1 – Accepted programmes by proposing Member State (third countries – from 2004)



20 \$\text{set} \text{18} \\
\text{16} \\
\text{up} \\
\text{10} \\
\text{0} \\
\text{2} \\
\text{1} \

Littuania

HaH

0

Cyprus

Finland

Graph 2 – Accepted programmes by proposing Member State (third countries – from 2004)

Table 2.1.2 – Accepted programmes by target countries (third countries – from 2004)

# **Proposing Member States**

Poland

					0	~				
Target countries	CY	FI	GR	IT	LT	Multi MS	NL	PL	PT	No of programmes
Australia			1	1	1					3
Bulgaria			1		1			1		3
Clouded				3			1		1	5
Croatia				1						1
India				1						1
Japan			1	4		3	1		2	11
Latin America							1		2	3
Middle and Near East	1		3		1	1				6
North America	1		2	8	1	3	2		3	20
Norway	1		1	2		1			1	6
Romania	1		1	2				1		5
Russia	1	1	2	6	1	2	2			15
Serbia and Montenegro				1			1			2
South-east Asia						1				1
Switzerland	1		1	5		1	_	_	2	10
Turkey							1			1
Ukraine				1	1		1			3


Table 2.1.3 – Accepted programmes by Member State & product sector (third countries – from 2004)

Product sector	EU co- financing (€ million)	CY	FI	GR	IT	LT	Multi MS	N L	PL	PT	Total
Fresh fruit and vegetables	4.9			1	4		1				6
Milk and milk products	2.1	1			1						2
Multi Products	5.6	1			2		2			1	6
Olive oil and table olives	3.0			2	2						4
Organic farming and products	0.5				1						1
Ornamental horticulture	2.5				1			3			4
PDO, PGI and TSG	7.9			3	5						8
Processed Cereals & Rice	0.4		1								1
Processed fruit and vegetables	1.5			1					1		2
Quality Meat	4.1			1	1		1				3
Spirit Drinks	1.4					1					1
Wines	5.7				2		3			3	8
Total	39.7	2	1	8	19	1	7	3	1	4	46

Table 2.1.4 – Duration of programmes (third countries – from 2004)

		EU co-financing								
Duration (years)	No of programmes	EUR million	percentage							
1 year	4	2.0	5.14%							
2 years	9	5.8	14.72%							
3 years	33	31.8	80.14%							
Total	46	39.7	100.00%							

Graph 3 – Number of programmes per duration type (third countries – from 2004)



Graph 4 – Amounts per duration type (EUR million) (third countries – from 2004)





Table 2.1.5 – Accepted programmes by the size of their budget (third countries – from 2004)

Total budget of the programme (€ million)	No of programmes	% of programmes	Total budget (€ million)	% of budget
0.1 - 0.3	2	4.3%	0.6	0.8%
0.3 - 1.0	15	32.6%	12.1	15.3%
1.0 - 2.5	18	39.1%	29.8	37.5%
> 2.5	11	23.9%	36.9	46.5%
Total	46	100.0%	79.5	100.0%

Graph 5 – Number of programmes by the size of their budget (third countries – from 2004)



Graph 6 – Total budget by the size of the budget of the programmes (EUR million) (third countries – from 2004)




Table 2.1.6 – Accepted programmes by product sector (third countries – from 2004)

Product sector	Total (€ million)	EU co- financing (€ million)	%	No of programmes
Fresh fruit and vegetables	9.9	4.9	12.4%	6
Milk and milk products	4.1	2.1	5.2%	2
Multi Products	11.3	5.6	14.2%	6
Olive oil and table olives	6.1	3.0	7.5%	4
Organic farming and products	1.1	0.5	1.3%	1
Ornamental horticulture	5.1	2.5	6.4%	4
PDO, PGI and TSG	15.8	7.9	19.9%	8
Processed cereals & rice	0.8	0.4	1.0%	1
Processed fruit and vegetables	2.9	1.5	3.7%	2
Quality meat	8.2	4.1	10.3%	3
Spirit drinks	2.9	1.4	3.6%	1
Wines	11.5	5.7	14.4%	8
Total	79.5	39.7	100.0%	46

In Million Euro 9,00 7,90 8,00 7,00 5,71 5,63 6,00 4,93 5,00 4,06 4,00 2,99 3,00 2,06 2,00 Multiradian's datable dives and Products Return POD Political Sept Carents & Auce 1,00 0,00 Processed trill and respectables Freshmil and begleables Onaneda hotolike Mit and rill do duck Quality heat SpiriDinks


**Graph 7 – EC participation per product (third countries – from 2004)** 

# 2.2. Internal market

Table 2.2.1 – Accepted programmes by proposing Member State (internal market – from 2004)

	Budget	(EUR million)	No programmes
Member State	Total	EU co-financing	approved
Austria	7.8	3.9	4
Belgium	7.7	3.9	7
Cyprus	1.1	0.5	1
Czech Republic	0.2	0.1	1
Denmark	2.7	1.4	2
Estonia	0.3	0.1	1
Finland	3.9	1.9	6
France	24.6	10.0	10
Germany	34.2	17.1	10
Greece	14.4	7.2	6
Hungary	1.2	0.6	2
Ireland	1.5	0.7	3
Italy	38.4	19,2	23
Latvia	1.4	0.7	4
Multi MS	27.9	13.9	8
Netherlands	13.6	6.8	11
Poland	6.6	3.3	4
Portugal	13.9	7.0	8
Spain	16.7	8.3	6
Sweden	1.3	0.6	3
United Kingdom	13.5	6.8	8
Total	232.8	114.0	128

Graph 8 – Accepted programmes by proposing Member State (internal market – from 2004)



Graph 9 – Accepted programmes by proposing Member State (internal market – from 2004)




Table 2.2.2 – Accepted programmes by target countries (internal market – from 2004)

# **Proposing Member States**

Target countries	AT	BE	CY	CZ	OF	DK	EE	ES	FI	FR	GB	GR	HU	IE	IT	LV	Multi MS	NL	PL	PT	SE	No of programmes
Austria	4		1					1				1			3			3		1		14
Belgium		7	1					1				1			2		3	3				18
Cyprus			1									3					1					5
Czech Republic				1				1					1					1		1		5
Denmark						2		1				2			1			3		1		10
Estonia							1								2							3
Finland			1					1	6			1			2		1	3		1		16
France										10	1	2			3		4	4		2		26
Germany			1		10			1				4	1		9		3	3	2	2		36
Greece			1									6					2					9
Hungary								1									1			1		3
Ireland								1						3				1				5
Italy			1								1				21		1	3				27
Latvia															2	4						6
Lithuania															1							1
Netherlands								1			1	2			2		2	9				17
Poland								2					2		4				4	1		13
Portugal																				7		7
Spain								5									1	1		2		9
Sweden			1					1				2			3		1	4		1	3	16
United Kingdom			1					1			8	4	1		5			4		2		26


Table 2.2.3 – Accepted programmes by Member State and product sector (internal market – from 2004)

Product sector	EU co- financing (€ million)	AT	BE	CY	CZ	OF	DK	EE	ES	FI	FR	GB	GR	HU	ΙΕ	IT	LV	Multi MS	NL	PL	Pt	SE	Total
All agricultural products	1.4																		1				1
Fresh fruit and vegetables	18.0	1	2	1		1	1						2		1	2	1	4	1		1		18
Honey and beekeeping products	0.5				1			1		1							1						4
Labelling of eggs	3.4	1	1			2			1	1					1	3			2		1	1	14
Milk and milk products	22.9		1			2				2		4	1			4	1				1	1	17
Multi products	2.2															2		1					3
And oil olive counts olives	4.0										1		1			3							5
Organic farming and products	12.8		1			1	1		1		4					2	1		1	1		1	14
Ornamental horticulture	14.2	1	1			1			1				1		1	1		1	6				14
Outermost regions	2.3										1												1
PDO, PGI and TSG	9.0		1			1			2		2		1			2				1	2		12
Processed fruit and vegetables	3.1																	1		1			2
Quality meat	11.2	1				1				1	1	4				2		1		1			12
Seed oil	2.7					1				1	1												3
Wines	6.3								1					2		2					3		8
Total	114.0	4	7	1	1	10	2	1	6	6	10	8	6	2	3	23	4	8	11	4	8	3	128

Table 2.2.4 – Duration of programmes (internal market – from 2004)

		EU co-fi	inancing
Duration (years)	No of programmes	EUR million	percentage
1 year	27	7.1	6.25%
2 years	27	18.3	16.04%
3 years	74	88.6	77.71%
Total	128	114.0	100.00%

Graph 10 – Number of programmes per duration type (internal market – from 2004)



Graph 11 – Amounts per duration type (EUR million) (internal market – from 2004)





Table 2.2.5 – Accepted programmes by the size of their budget (internal market – from 2004)

Total budget of the programmes (EUR million)	No of programmes	% of programmes	Budget total (EUR million)	% of budget
0.1 - 0.3	19	14.8%	3.8	1.7%
0.3 - 1.0	37	28.9%	24.3	10.4%
1.0 - 2.5	40	31.3%	65.6	28.2%
> 2.5	32	25.0%	139.0	59.7%
Total	128	100.0%	232.8	100.0%

Graph 12 – Number of programmes by the size of their budget (internal market – from 2004)



Graph 13 – Total budget by the size of the budget of the programmes (internal market – from 2004)




Table 2.2.6 – Accepted programmes by product sector (internal market – from 2004)

Product sector	Total (€ million)	EU co- financing (€ million)	%	No of programmes
All agricultural products	2.8	1.4	1.2%	1
Fresh fruit and vegetables	35.9	18.0	15.8%	18
Honey and beekeeping products	1.1	0.5	0.5%	4
Labelling of eggs	6.7	3.4	2.9%	14
Milk and milk products	45.9	22.9	20.1%	17
Multi Products	4.5	2.2	2.0%	3
Olive oil and table olives	8.1	4.0	3.5%	5
Organic farming and products	25.7	12.8	11.3%	14
Ornamental horticulture	28.4	14.2	12.4%	14
Outermost regions	9.2	2.3	2.0%	1
PDO, PGI and TSG	18.0	9.0	7.9%	12
Processed fruit and vegetables	6.2	3.1	2.7%	2
Quality meat	22.4	11.2	9.8%	12
Seed oil	5.4	2.7	2.4%	3
Wines	12.6	6.3	5.5%	8
Total	232.8	114.0	100.0%	128




Table 2.2.7 – Indicative annual budget in the guidelines for promotion compared to accepted budget since 2004 (internal market – two and a half year period) (1)

Product sector	Guidelines (€ million)	% of total (€ million)	Total budget (€ million)	EU co– financing (€ million)	% of total (€ million)	No of programmes
Fresh fruit and vegetables	4	11%	35.9	18.0	15.8%	18
Honey and beekeeping products	1	3%	1.1	0.5	0.5%	4
Labelling of eggs	2	5%	6.7	3.4	2.9%	14
Milk and milk products	4	11%	45.9	22.9	20.1%	17
Multi products	Na (2)	Na (2)	7.3	3.6	2.0%	4
Olive oil and table olives	4	11%	8.1	4.0	3.5%	5
Organic farming and products	3	8%	25.7	12.8	11.3%	14
Ornamental horticulture	3	8%	28.4	14.2	12.4%	14
Outermost regions	1	3%	9.2	2.3	2.0%	1
PDO, PGI and TSG	3	8%	18.0	9.0	7.9%	12
Processed fruit and vegetables	2	5%	6.2	3.1	2.7%	2
Quality meat	4	11%	22.4	11.2	9.8%	12
Seed oil	2	5%	5.4	2.7	2.4%	3
Flax fibre	1	3%	0.0	0.0	0.0%	0
Wines	3	8%	12.6	6.3	5.5%	8
Total	37	100%	232.8	114.0	100.0%	128

<sup>(1)</sup> Taking into account the changes regarding eligible products within the reference period, only figures in percentage are relevant for comparison.

<sup>(2)</sup> not applicable

## **ANNEX 3**


Programmes accepted between December 2001 and July 2006: tables ventilated by country, by product sector, according to the duration and according to the budget

# 3.1. Third countries

Table 3.1.1 – Accepted programmes by proposing Member State (third countries – all years)

	Budget	No of programmes	
Member State	Total	EU co-financing	approved
Belgium	1.7	0.9	3
Cyprus	4.5	2.2	2
Denmark	1.5	0.8	1
Finland	0.8	0.4	1
France	5.2	2.6	4
Greece	15.8	7.9	8
Italy	33.4	16.6	27
Lithuania	2.9	1.4	1
Multi MS	44.0	21.9	17
Netherlands	2.7	1.4	4
Poland	1.8	0.9	1
Portugal	6.8	3.4	7
Spain	3.6	1.8	1
Total	124.6	62.2	77

**Graph 15 – Accepted programmes by proposing Member State (third countries – all years)** 



**Graph 16 – Accepted programmes by proposing Member State (third countries – all years)** 




Table 3.1.2 – Accepted programmes by target countries (third countries – all years)

# **Proposing Member States**

Target countries (1)	BE	CY	DK	ES	FI	FR	GR	IT	LT	Multi MS	NL	PL	PT	No of programmes
Australia							1	2	1					4
Bulgaria							1		1			1		3
Clouded						1		3			1		1	6
Croatia								1						1
Czech Republic						1		1		1				3
Far East	1													1
Hungary										1				1
India								1						1
Japan	1		1	1		1	1	7		7	2		2	23
Latin America	1			1						1	1		3	7
Middle and Near East	2	1					3	1	1	3			1	12
North Africa								1						1
North America	1	1		1		2	2	12	1	7	2		3	32
North Asia	1									1			1	3
Norway		1				1	1	3		2			1	9
CEEC										1				1
Poland						1				2				3
Romania		1					1	2				1		5
Russia	1	1			1		2	7	1	4	2		1	20
Serbia and Montenegro								1			1			2
South Africa	1												1	2
South-east Asia										3				3
South Korea	1													1
Switzerland		1				2	1	6		2			2	14
Turkey								1			1			2
Ukraine								1	1		1			3

<sup>(1)</sup> A target country can be the unique target of a programme or one target among others.

Table 3.1.3 – Accepted programmes by Member State & product sector (third countries – all years)


# Participating Member States

					1	epun		inver	o i i i i i	,					
Product sector	EU co-financing (€ million)	BE	CY	DK	ES	FI	FR	GR	IT	LT	Multi MS	NL	PL	PT	Total
Fresh fruit and vegetables	8.7	1					2	1	4		5	1		1	15
Milk and milk products	4.4		1				2		2						5
Multi products	11.4		1		1				5		3			2	12
Olive oil and table olives	3.3							2	3						5
Organic farming and products	0.5								1						1
Ornamental horticulture	2.5								1			3			4
PDO, PGI and TSG	9.8							3	6		2				11
Processed cereals & rice	1.2					1			1						2
Processed fruit and vegetables	1.5							1					1		2
Quality meat	9.8	2		1				1	1		2				7
Spirit drinks	1.4									1					1
Wines	7.6								3		5			4	12
Total	62.2	3	2	1	1	1	4	8	27	1	17	4	1	7	77

Table 3.1.4 – Duration of programmes (third countries – all years)

		EU co-f	inancing
Duration (years)	No of programmes	EUR million	percentage
1 year	12	4.4	7.12%
2 years	18	12.9	20.79%
3 years	47	44.8	72.09%
Total	77	62.2	100.00%

Graph 17 – Number of programmes per duration type (third countries – all years)



Graph 18 – Amounts per duration type (€ million) (third countries – all years)





Table 3.1.5 –Accepted programmes by the size of their budget (third countries – all years)

Total budget of the programmes (EUR million)	No of programmes	% of programmes	Total budget (€ million)	% of budget
0.1 - 0.3	6	7.8%	1.6	1.3%
0.3 - 1.0	28	36.4%	21.7	17.4%
1.0 - 2.5	24	31.2%	37.7	30.3%
> 2.5	19	24.7%	63,7	51.1%
Total	77	100.0%	124.6	100.0%

Graph 19 – Number of programmes by the size of their budget (third countries – all years)



Graph 20 – Total budget by the size of the budget of the programmes (third countries – all years)




Table 3.1.6 – Accepted programmes by product sector (third countries – all years)

	Bı	Budget (€ million)							
Product sector	Total	EU co- financing	%	No of programmes					
Fresh fruit and vegetables	17.5	8.7	14.1%	15					
Milk and milk products	8.9	4.4	7.1%	5					
Multi products	22.8	11.4	18.3%	12					
Olive oil and table olives	6.6	3.3	5.3%	5					
Organic farming and products	1.1	0.5	0.9%	1					
Ornamental horticulture	5.1	2.5	4.1%	4					
PDO, PGI and TSG	19,5	9.8	15.7%	11					
Processed cereals & rice	2.3	1.2	1.9%	2					
Processed fruit and vegetables	2.9	1.5	2.3%	2					
Quality meat	19,7	9.8	15.8%	7					
Spirit drinks	2.9	1.4	2.3%	1					
Wines	15.3	7.6	12.3%	12					
Total	124.6	62.2	100.0%	77					

12,00 9,76 9,81 10,00 8,74 7,64 8,00 In Million Euro 6,00 4,44 4,00 2,54 2,00 0,53 Multi Podules dade dives on anetal Indicature on anetal Indicature of the Oder 0,00 Fresh full and wederbles Processed Celepte & Rive POO POI ME TES Milk and rilk products MultiProducts Chally Med SpirtDinks Wines **Product Sector Label** 


Graph 21 – EC participation per product (third countries – all years)

# 3.2. Internal market

Table 3.2.1 – Accepted programmes by proposing Member State (internal market – all years)

	(EU	Budget UR million)	No of programmes
Member State	Total	EU co-financing	approved
Austria	17.3	8.7	9
Belgium	15.0	7.5	12
Cyprus	1.1	0.5	1
Czech Republic	0.2	0.1	1
Denmark	6.2	3.1	6
Estonia	0.3	0.1	1
Finland	5.0	2.5	8
France	63.2	29.3	23
Germany	46.0	23.0	20
Greece	19,3	9.6	11
Hungary	1.2	0.6	2
Ireland	2.1	1.1	5
Italy	66.3	33.2	47
Latvia	1.4	0.7	4
Multi MS	40.8	20,4	11
Netherlands	20,5	10.3	15
Poland	6.6	3.3	4
Portugal	14.3	7.2	9
Spain	49.8	24.9	14
Sweden	4.0	2.0	7
United Kingdom	18.0	9.0	12
Total	398.7	197.0	222

**Graph 22 – Accepted programmes by proposing Member State (internal market – all years)** 



**Graph 23 – Accepted programmes by proposing Member State (internal market – all years)** 




Table 3.2.2 – Accepted programmes by target countries (internal market – all years)

# **Proposing Member States**

Target Countries (1)	AT	BE	CY	CZ	OF	DK	EE	ES	FI	FR	GB	GR	HU	IE	IT	LV	Multi MS	NL	PL	PT	SE	No of programmes
Austria	9		1					2				1			3			3		1		20
Belgium		12	1					2				1			2		3	3				24
Cyprus			1									3					1					5
Czech Republic				1				1					1					1		1		5
Denmark						6		2				2			1			3		1		15
Estonia							1								2							3
Finland			1					2	8			1			2		1	3		1		19
France										23	1	2			3		5	4		2		40
Germany			1		20			2				4	1		9		4	3	2	2		48
Greece			1									11					2					14
Hungary								1									1			1		3
Ireland								2						5				1				8
Italy			1								1				45		4	3				54
Latvia															2	4						6
Lithuania															1							1
Netherlands								2			1	2			2		2	13				22
Poland								2					2		4				4	1		13
Portugal								1												8		9
Spain								12									2	1		2		17
Sweden			1					2				2			3		1	4		1	7	21
United Kingdom			1					2			12	4	1		5		1	4		2		32

<sup>(1)</sup> A target country can be the unique target of a programme or one target among others.

Table 3.2.3 – Accepted programmes by Member State and product sector (internal market – all years)


# Participating Member States

Product sector	EU co-financing (€ million)	AT	BE	CY	CZ	OF	DK	EE	ES	FI	FR	GB	GR	HU	ΙE	IT	LV	Multi MS	NL	PL	PT	SE	Total
All agricultural products	1.4																		1				1
Fresh fruit and vegetables	55.9	2	3	1		5	1		3		4		2		2	6	1	6	3		2	1	42
Honey and beekeeping products	0.5				1			1		1							1						4
Labelling of eggs	3.4	1	1			2			1	1					1	3			2		1	1	14
Milk and milk products	31.7	1	2			3	1		1	4	2	6	1		1	7	1				1	2	33
Multi products	3.9					1										3		1					5
Olive oil and table olives	6.5								1		1		3			5							10
Organic farming and products	22.0	1	2			1	2		1		7		1			8	1	1	1	1		2	29
Ornamental horticulture	19,4	2	3			2	1		1			1	1		1	2		1	7			1	23
Outermost regions	2.3										1												1
PDO, PGI and TSG	14.9		1			2			2		4		2			4				1	2		18
Processed fruit and vegetables	3, 1																	1		1			2
Quality meat	17.9	1				2	1		1	1	2	5				5		1	1	1			21
Seed oil	2.7					1				1	1												3
Wines	11.4	1				1			3		1		1	2		4					3		16
Total	197.0	9	12	1	1	20	6	1	14	8	23	12	11	2	5	47	4	11	15	4	9	7	222

Table 3.2.4 – Duration of programmes (internal market – all years)

		EU co-financing								
Duration (years)	No of programmes	EUR million	percentage							
1 year	55	18.6	9.46%							
2 years	45	29.6	15.03%							
3 years	122	148.8	75.51%							
Total	222	197.0	100.00%							

**Graph 24 – Number of programmes per duration type (internal market – all years)** 



Graph 25 – Amounts per duration type (€ million) (internal market – all years)





Table 3.2.5 – Accepted programmes by the size of their budget (internal market – all years)

Total budget of the programmes (€ million)	No of programmes	% of programmes	Total budget (€ million)	% of budget
0.1 - 0.3	32	14.4%	6.8	1.7%
0.3 - 1.0	70	31.5%	44.9	11.3%
1.0 - 2.5	69	31.1%	111.5	28.0%
> 2.5	51	23.0%	235.5	59.1%
Total	222	100.0%	398.7	100.0%

Graph 26 – Number of programmes by the size of their budget (internal market – all years)



Graph 27 – Total budget by the size of the budget of the programmes (internal market – all years)





Table 3.2.6 – Accepted programmes by product sector (internal market – all years)

	Budget (€ million)			
Product sector	Total	EU co - financing	%	No of programmes
All agricultural products	2.8	1.4	0.7%	1
Fresh fruit and vegetables	111.9	55.9	28.4%	42
Honey and beekeeping products	1.1	0.5	0.3%	4
Labelling of eggs	6.7	3.4	1.7%	14
Milk and milk products	63.4	31.7	16.1%	33
Multi Products	7.9	3.9	2.0%	5
Olive oil and table olives	13.0	6.5	3.3%	10
Organic farming and products	44.0	22.0	11.2%	29
Ornamental horticulture	38.9	19,4	9.9%	23
Outermost regions	9.2	2.3	1.1%	1
PDO, PGI and TSG	29.8	14.9	7.6%	18
Processed fruit and vegetables	6.2	3.1	1.6%	2
Quality meat	35.8	17.9	9.1%	21
Seed oil	5.4	2.7	1.4%	3
Wines	22.9	11.4	5.8%	16
Total	398.7	197.0	100.0%	222

**Graph 28 – EC participation per product (internal market – all years)** 



# TABLE OF GRAPHS

Graph 1 – Accepted programmes by proposing Member State (third countries – from 2004)	7
Graph 2 – Accepted programmes by proposing Member State (third countries – from 2004)	
Graph 3 – Number of programmes per duration type (third countries – from 2004)	
Graph 4 – Amounts per duration type (EUR million) (third countries – from 2004)	
Graph 5 – Number of programmes by the size of their budget (third countries – from 2004)	10
Graph 6 – Total budget by the size of the budget of the programmes (EUR million) (third	
countries – from 2004)	
Graph 7 – EC participation per product (third countries – from 2004)	
Graph 8 – Accepted programmes by proposing Member State (internal market – from 2004)	
Croph O Accounted programmes by proposing Member State (internal market from 2004)	
Graph 9 – Accepted programmes by proposing Member State (internal market – from 2004)	
Graph 10 – Number of programmes per duration type (internal market – from 2004)	17
Graph 11 – Amounts per duration type (EUR million) (internal market – from 2004)	17
Graph 12 – Number of programmes by the size of their budget (internal market – from 2004	
Graph 13 – Total budget by the size of the budget of the programmes (internal market – from 2004)	m
Graph 14 – EC participation per product (internal market – from 2004)	
Graph 15 – Accepted programmes by proposing Member State (third countries – all years).	
Graph 16 – Accepted programmes by proposing Member State (third countries – all years).	
Graph 17 – Number of programmes per duration type (third countries – all years)	
Graph 18 – Amounts per duration type (€ million) (third countries – all years)	
Graph 19 – Number of programmes by the size of their budget (third countries – all years).	25
Graph 20 – Total budget by the size of the budget of the programmes (third countries – all	
years)	25
Graph 21 – EC participation per product (third countries – all years)	26
Graph 22 – Accepted programmes by proposing Member State (internal market – all years)	28
Graph 23 – Accepted programmes by proposing Member State (internal market – all years)	28
Graph 24 – Number of programmes per duration type (internal market – all years)	31
Graph 25 – Amounts per duration type (€ million) (internal market – all years)	
Graph 26 – Number of programmes by the size of their budget (internal market – all years)	32
Graph 27 – Total budget by the size of the budget of the programmes (internal market – all	
years)	
Graph 28 – EC participation per product (internal market – all years)	33