COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES



Brussels, 6.7.2007 SEC(2007) 968

Ce document annule et remplace le document SEC(2007) 968 envoyé le 6.7.2007

COMMISSION STAFF WORKING DOCUMENT

Accompanying document to the

FIRST REPORT TO THE EUROPEAN SECURITIES COMMITTEE AND TO THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

on convergence between International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and third country national Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAPs)

{COM(2007) 405 final}

1.1.US GAAP

ANNNEX 1

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES ACCORDING TO CESR ADVICE

		FASB		IASB		
Theme	Issues according to CESR advice (June 2005)	Current status as of 12/06 on FASB agenda	Prospects 2007/2008	Current status as of 12/06 on IASB agenda	Prospects 2007/2008	
Share-based payments (IFRS 2)	N/A anymore with the application of FAS 123R					
	Acquisition date - Under US GAAP, the acquisition date is ordinarily the date on which consideration passes and the acquired (net) assets are received. That is, the date on which the transaction closes. However, if the parties agree in writing that effective control passes to the acquirer at an earlier date then that earlier date is the acquisition date. Under IFRS3 the acquisition date is the date on which the acquirer effectively obtains control of the acquiree. Under US GAAP shares issued as consideration are measured at their market price over a reasonable period of time (a few days) before and after the parties reach an agreement on the purchase price and the transaction is announced. Under IFRS 3 shares issued as consideration are measured at their fair value on the date of the exchange transaction.					
Business	In process R&D - In process R&D is capitalised under IFRS but usually expensed under US GAAP		A final document should be issued in the second quarter of 2007.	On agenda – deliberations in process	An IFRS should be issued in the third quarter of 2007.	
Combination (IFRS 3)	Negative Goodwill - Under IFRS 3 negative goodwill is recognized immediately as a gain. Under US GAAP it is initially allocated on a pro rata basis against the carrying amounts of certain acquired non-financial assets, with any excess recognized as an extraordinary gain.	On agenda – deliberations in process				
	Minority interest - Minority interest under IFRS is measured at fair value but under US GAAP it is at historical cost.					
	Step acquisitions - Step acquisitions under IFRS 3 require revaluation of previous interests at fair value at each acquisition date. Under US GAAP previous interests are not revalued, resulting in an accumulation of fair values at different dates					
	Contingent consideration - Under US GAAP, contingent consideration is part of the purchase price when additional consideration is issued or becomes issuable whereas under IFRS 3 it is part of the purchase price at the date of acquisition if payment is probable and can be measured reliably.					

	Costs of replacing component parts - Costs of replacing component parts of an asset and planned major maintenance activities may be capitalized or expensed. The deferral method which is specified under IFRS is one of four possible methods under US GAAP.	Nothing specific			
Employee benefits (IAS	US GAAP and IAS 19 have the same objectives and follow the same principles. While there are differences, the fact that there are four broad options for defined benefit schemes available under IAS make it difficult to determine which version would be used as the basis for reconciliation, and against that background a reconciliation would not help investors' decisions. The key point is to have adequate disclosures to enable investors to make decisions		A final document should be issued in the first quarter of 2007.	On agenda – no publication yet	A Discussion Paper should be issued in the third quarter of 2007.
Consolidated & separate financial statements (IAS 27)	Scope of Consolidation. Under IAS 27 and SIC 12, the scope of consolidation is determined by reference to the principle of control defined in general terms as the power to govern the financial and operating policies of an entity so as to obtain benefits from its activities (IAS 27.4). The issue of consolidation of Special Purpose Entities (SPE) in the US is very complex, being based on principles combined with additional guidance that altogether make the third country framework close to IFRS in most cases. The status of Qualifying SPEs (QSPEs) is slightly different as being essentially addressed in connection with provisions on derecognition of assets.	On agenda – currently inactive	None	On agenda – no publication yet	A Discussion Paper should be issued in the second quarter of 2007. An Exposure draft should be issued in the second semester of 2008.
Investments in associates (IAS 28)	No requirement to conform accounting policies where associate's policies are different	Nothing specific			
Impairments of assets (IAS	Impairment test - Impairments under US GAAP are based firstly on a comparison of carrying amount to the expected future cash flows to be derived from an asset (or asset group) on an undiscounted basis. If the carrying amount is lower the asset (or asset group) is not impaired, if higher then impairment is measured by comparing the carrying amount to the fair value of the asset (or asset group).	int "Impairment" is a topic for short term convergence according to the Roadmap but is is not on the agenda of IASB or FASB			s is not on the
	Subsequent reversal of an impairment loss is prohibited under US GAAP				
Provisions (IAS 37)	Measurement of decommissioning provisions – discount rates are not adjusted under US GAAP	Nothing specific		On the Agenda (ED was issued in June 2005) but the project is not part of the MoU.	An IFRS should be issued in the first semester of 2008.

Intangibles Assets (IAS 38)		This potential short-term convergence project is currently in the staff research phase.	N/C	On research agenda (led by a national standard- setter)	An Agenda Decisions should be made in the fourth quarter of 2007.
Financial instruments (IAS 39)	Key differences that could affect investors decisions are: • Derecognition of securitizations • Split accounting on convertible bonds • Reversal of impairments on debt securities	At joint meetings held in April 2005 and October 2005, the FASB and the IASB agreed to long-term objectives to improve, simplify, and converge financial reporting requirements for financial instruments. The Boards are addressing some of those impediments in various active projects: Fair Value Measurement, Fair Value Option and Financial Instruments: Liabilities and Equity. These projects are described in the next table.			
Investment Property (IAS 40)	US GAAP does not permit property to be measured at fair value . A cost based method of accounting is generally required	To be considered by the FASB as part of the fair value option project		See Fair value option project	
Agriculture (IAS 41)	Differences in fair value of specific agriculture items	Nothing specific		•	

OTHER ISSUES WHICH WERE NOT SIGNIFICANT OR NOT IDENTIFIED IN CESR ADVICE BUT ON WHICH THERE IS A CONVERGENCE PROJECT

			FASB	IASB		
Theme	Issues according to CESR advice (June 2005)	Current status as of 12/06 on FASB agenda	Prospects 2007/2008	Current status as of 12/06 on IASB agenda	Prospects 2007/2008	
Subsequent events (IAS 10)	Not in the advice	To be examined	The Board expects to begin deliberation of issues in this project in late 2006 or early 2007.	Nothing specific		
Income tax (IA	Not significant - Various differences in detail exist between US GAAP and IFRS. Nevertheless, basics and objectives of the standards are the same in both standards.	On the agenda - no publication yet	The Board plans to issue an Exposure Draft for public comment in the second quarter of 2007.	To be examined (jointly with the FSAB)	An ED should be issued in the second quarter of 2007. An IFRS should be issued in the second semester of 2008.	
Segment reporting (IAS 14)	Not significant	Nothing specific yet		IFRS 8 was issued in Nov 2006 with an application on 1 Jan 2009.	N/A	
Leases (IAS 17)	Not significant - IAS 17 and US GAAP have the same objective – to require leases that effectively transfer ownership of assets (usually called finance leases) to be capitalised. Differences of detail, between the standards may give rise to different treatment of the same leases under the two GAAPs, but a reasonably knowledgeable investor could be expected to understand that the lease terms will be different if the standards changed.		The Board will begin deliberations of those issues in 2007; those deliberations will result in issuing for public comment a discussion paper that explores lease accounting issues and describes the preliminary views of both Boards. That discussion paper is expected to be published in 2008.	On the agenda since July 2006 - no publication yet	A working group meeting held in Feb 2007. A Discussion Paper should be issued in the second semester of 2008.	
Revenue recognition	Not significant - General principles are consistent between the two GAPS, but there are some differences of detail. Unlikely to affect investors' decision making as long as there is full disclosure of accounting policies and sufficient information provided under US GAAP for investor making decisions.	On agenda – no publication yet	The Board's goal is to issue a Preliminary Views by the end of 2007 covering both concepts- and standards-level revenue recognition guidance.	On agenda – no publication yet	A Discussion Paper should be issued in the fourth quarter of 2007.	
Government grants (IAS 20)	Not significant	Nothing specific yet		On agenda – no publication yet	Timing yet to be determined - pending work on other projects	

Borrowing costs (IAS 23)	Not significant	Nothing specific yet		On agenda – an ED was issued in May 2006.	An IFRS should be issued in the first quarter of 2007.
Earnings per share (IAS 23)	No significant differences	On agenda – The Board commenced redeliberations and noted that it and the IASB reached different conclusions on the earnings per share treatment of options, warrants, and their equivalents classified as equity, mandatorily convertible instruments, and convertible debt. The Board directed the staff to further analyze those differences for discussion at a future meeting.		On agenda – no publication yet	An Exposure Draft should be issued in the first quarter of 2007. An IFRS should be issued by the end of 2007.
Joint-ventures	No significant differences	Nothing specific yet		On the agenda - no publication yet	An Exposure Draft should be issued in the second quarter of 2007. An IFRS should be issued in the first half of 2008.
Fair Value measurement guidance		Statement 157 was issued in Sept 06	N/A	On agenda – Discussion Paper was issued in Nov 2006	A roundtable is going to take place in the second quarter of 2007. An ED should be issued in the second semester of 2008.
Fair Value Option	Refer to IAS 39 in the previous table	On agenda – On January 25, 2006, the Board issued an FASB Exposure Draft, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities, for Phase 1.	The Board will continue its discussions on disclosure requirements at a Board meeting in January 2007. A Statement for Phase 1 will be issued in the first quarter of 2007. The Board's activity on Phase 2 will begin after a final Statement has been issued for Phase 1.	On the agenda - no publication yet	N/C
Financial Instruments: Liabilities and Eauity		On the agenda - no publication yet	The Preliminary Views are expected to be issued in the second quarter of 2007.	On agenda – deliberations in process	A Discussion Paper should be issued in the second quarter of 2007.
Financial Statements Presentation	Nothing specific	On agenda – no publication yet	The FASB decided that it would not publish a separate Exposure Draft on Phase A of the project. Rather, it will expose its Phase A decisions along with its Phase B decisions. The initial output for Phase B is an initial discussion document (similar to a Preliminary Views or a Discussion Paper) that is expected to be published in the third quarter of 2007.	Exposure draft on phase A	On the first phase, an IFRS should be issued in the second quarter of 2007. On the second phase, a Discussion Paper should be issued in the second quarter of 2007 and an Exposure Draft should be issued in the second semester of 2008.

Remedies	No.	Issues	Current status and policy	Prospects at the beginning of 2008
Supple-	1	Business	The ASBJ will ascertain the feedback	Deliberations will be
mentary		combinations	from markets on the accounting	made considering the
statement		(pooling-of-	standards put into effect from 2006.	results of market research,
		interest method)	To this end, a project team will be	feedback from markets
			established by the end of 2006 in	after the implementation
			order to conduct market research in	of IFRS, and the progress
			2007.	in discussions between the
			The application of the	IASB and the FASB. A
			pooling-of-interest method is very	discussion paper will be
			limited only to business	released as necessary.
			combinations where it is impossible	
			to identify an acquiring party.	
	2	Scope of	A technical committee was	As for deliberations of the
		consolidation	established for this issue. The	disclosure, its guidance
		(qualified special	committee has picked up the issue of	will be released by March
		purpose entities)	the disclosure of SPE as the first	2007. As for deliberations
			agenda to be discussed and	of the scope of
			deliberations thereon have been	consolidation, a discussion
			initiated. Further study will be done	paper will be released
			considering the progress in	taking into consideration
			discussions between the IASB and	the progress in discussions
			the FASB in 2007.	between the IASB and the
				FASB by the end of 2007.

Issues advised by CESR concerning the equivalence assessment on Japanese Accounting Standards and the ASBJ's approach to those issues

Remedies	No.	Issues	Current status and policy	Prospects at the beginning of 2008
	3	Uniformity of	With the release of Practical Issues	PITF will be applied from
		accounting	Task Force (PITF) (May 2006),	April 2008 (earlier
		policies	accounting policies applicable to	application encouraged).
		concerning	foreign subsidiaries will be unified	
		overseas	substantially with those applicable to	
		subsidiaries	parent companies. (Furthermore,	
			consolidation of financial statements	
			using IFRS or U.S. GAAP is now	
			permitted here subject to certain	
			adjustments.)	
Disclosure.	4	Share-based	Accounting standards and guidance	Accounting standards and
в		payments	were released (December 2005).	guidance have been
		(expense	Requisite disclosure is also defined	implemented since.
		recognition)	therein. (See No.13, "Share-based	
			payments - explanatory notes")	
	5	Business	Deliberations will be conducted	(See No. 1, "Business
		combinations	considering the progress in	combinations,
		(date of	discussions between the IASB and	pooling-of-interest
		exchange)	the FASB also in conjunction with	method")
			the deliberations of No. 1, "Business	
			combinations (pooling-of-interest	
			method)."	
	6	Business	Deliberations will be conducted by	(See No. 11,
		combinations	the Intangible Assets Working Group.	"Capitalization of
		(capitalization of	(See No. 11, "Capitalization of	development costs")
		acquired R&D in	development costs")	
		process)		
	7	Business	Information required to be disclosed	Accounting standards and
		combinations	as Disclosure B (amounts, causes of	guidance are currently
		(negative	occurrence, methods of amortization	effective.
		goodwill)	and amortization periods) are already	
			required under the new accounting	
			standards put into effect from 2006.	

Remedies	No.	Issues	Current status and policy	Prospects at the beginning of 2008
	8	Inventories	A project team is to be established in	A decision will be made
		(LIFO)	2007 to initiate deliberations.	on the course of action.
			Only a few companies have adopted	
			the LIFO method. Also, this is an	
			issue for which the application of	
			remedies can be avoided by the	
			choice of accounting policies at each	
			entity level.	
		Inventories	Accounting standards were released	Accounting standards will
		(lower of cost or	(July 2006) stipulating book value	be applied from April
		market method)	should be adjusted downward where	2008 (earlier application
			any deterioration of earning power	encouraged).
			thereof exists.	
	9	Uniformity of	A project team will be established in	Based on the deliberation
		accounting	2007 to initiate deliberations.	by the project team, an
		policies of	Also to include such issues that may	exposure draft will be
		associates	arise from the introduction of IFRS	released as necessary.
			in fiscal 2005 in the project team	
			deliberations, it is considered that	
			this issue may be brought up for	
			redeliberation in the course of	
			discussions with the IASB and/or	
			with the FASB.	
			(Under current Japanese accounting	
			standards, uniformity of accounting	
			policies applied to investing	
			companies and their affiliates are	
			considered to be desirable as a	
			general rule, but such uniformity is	
			not forced from practical	
			considerations.)	

Remedies	No.	Issues	Current status and policy	Prospects at the beginning of 2008
	10	Impairment tests	The ASBJ will assess feedback from	A decision will be made
			markets on the impairment standards	regarding the course of
			effective from 2005. To this end, a	action to be taken, based
			project team will be established in	on the results of market
			2007 to conduct market research.	research and considering
			Deliberations will be made reflecting	the progress in discussions
			the progress in discussions between	between the IASB and the
			the IASB and the FASB (short-term	FASB.
			convergence project).	If IFRS are converged to
				U.S. GAAP, differences
				between Japanese
				accounting standards and
				IFRS will then be
				eliminated.
	11	Capitalization of	A working group was established to	Discussion papers will be
		development	initiate deliberations.	released by the end of
		costs	Deliberations will be made taking	2007 based on the results
			into consideration the progress in	of market research and
			discussions with the IASB and the	taking into consideration
			FASB (short-term convergence	the progress in discussions
			project).	between the IASB and the
				FASB.
				If IFRS are converged to
				U.S. GAAP, differences
				between Japanese
				standards and IFRS will
				then be eliminated.
	12	Agriculture	Very few numbers of publicly listed	-
			companies are engaged in	
			agricultural businesses.	
Disclosure	13	Share-based	(See No. 4, "Share-based payments -	(See No. 4, "Share-based
A		payments	expense recognition")	payments, expense
		(explanatory		recognition")
		notes)		

Remedies	No.	Issues	Current status and policy	Prospects at the beginning of 2008
	14	Business	Deliberations will be made taking	(See No. 1, "Business
		combination	into consideration the progress in	combinations,
		(minority	discussions between the IASB and	pooling-of-interest
		interests at	the FASB in conjunction with No. 1,	method")
		historical cost)	"Business combinations	
			(pooling-of-interest method)."	
	15	Business	Deliberations will be made	(See No. 1, "Business
		combinations	considering the progress in	combinations,
		(step	discussions between the IASB and	pooling-of-interest
		acquisitions)	the FASB in conjunction with No. 1,	method")
			"Business combinations	
			(pooling-of-interest method)."	
	16	Insurance	The industry targeted for application	-
		contracts	is limited to the insurance industry	
		(catastrophic	and in the IASB currently the phase	
		provisions)	II discussion has been conducted.	
	17	Construction	This issue was chosen as the agenda	Accounting Standards and
		contracts	for a short-term joint project with the	guidance will be released
		(percentage-of-	IASB, and a working group was	by the end of the year
		completion	established to initiate deliberations.	2007.
		method)		
	18	Non-performing	The development of specific	-
		loans	measures against this issue is not	
			considered to be necessary because	
			the group of financial institutions	
			already have certain established	
			disclosure rules.	
	19	Scrapping costs	(Deliberations will be conducted in	(See No. 24, "Asset
			conjunction with No. 24, "Asset	retirement obligations")
			retirement obligations")	

EN

Remedies	No.	Issues	Current status and policy	Prospects at the beginning of 2008
	20	Pensions, Post	This issue was chosen as the agenda	A decision will be made
		Retirement	for the longer-term joint project with	on the course of action to
		Benefits	the IASB, and a working group will	be taken, based on
		(including the	be established in 2007 to initiate	considering the progress
		discount rate to	deliberations and to convey opinions	in discussions between the
		be used for	and comments to the IASB and the	LASB and the FASB.
		calculating	FASB.	
		retirement		
		benefits		
		obligations)		
	21	Business	Deliberations are to be conducted in	(See No. 1, "Business
		combinations	conjunction with No. 1, "Business	combinations,
		(translation of	combinations (pooling-of-interest	pooling-of-interest
		goodwill)	method)".	method")
	22	Disclosure of	This issue was chosen as the agenda	Accounting standards and
		financial	for a short-term joint project with the	guidance will be issued by
		instruments at	IASB, and a working group was	the end of the year 2007.
		fair value	established to initiate deliberations.	
	23	Reversal of	Deliberations will be conducted in	(See No. 10, "Impairment
		impairment loss	conjunction with No. 10,	tests")
			"Impairment tests ".	
	24	Asset retirement	Working Group was established on	Accounting standards and
		obligations	this issue which was added to topics	guidance will be issued by
			for the convergence project with the	the end of 2007.
			IASB.	

Remedies	No.	Issues	Current status and policy	Prospects at the beginning of 2008
	25	Investment	This is the agenda for the short-term	A decision will be reached
		property	joint project with the IASB. The	on the course of action
			ASBJ will continue to provide its	taking into consideration
			own comments to the IASB.	the progress in discussions
			Deliberations will be made taking	between the IASB and the
			into consideration the progress in	FASB.
			discussions between the IASB and	If IFRS are converged to
			the FASB (short-term convergence	U.S. GAAP, differences
			project).	between Japanese
				standards and IFRS will
				then be eliminated.
Future	26	Financial	Because of the complexity of	_
agenda		instruments	pertinent Japanese standards, the	
			position of the CESR is to continue	
			reviewing its technical assessments.	
			Therefore no particular actions are	
			scheduled at this stage.	
			Deliberations will be made taking	
			into consideration the progress in	
			discussions between the IASB and	
			the FASB.	

(Note 1) The ASBJ puts the above "No." for convenience.

(Note 2) Headings in the column "Remedies" means as follows.

Supplementary statement : Pro-forma statements including a limited restatement for taking account of one identified aspects of IFRS requirement that is not present of not fully applied under Japanese GAAP

Disclosure B : Quantitative indication of the impact of an event or transaction under IAS/IFRS provisions (The gross and net of tax effect of the difference on the profit and loss or on the shareholders' equity)

Disclosure A : Additional narrative and/or quantitative disclosures augmenting the disclosures already provided pursuant to Japanese GAAP

Future Agenda : To be reconsidered later

ANNEX 3:

Implementation Plan for Incorporating IFRSs into Canadian GAAP Calendar of Implementation Goals (As of June 30, 2006)

- **2006** Expose definition of publicly accountable enterprises
 - Initial meetings with Canadian Securities Administrators to initiate dialogue about disclosure requirements for transition to IFRSs
 - Initial discussions with the SEC to determine if it will consider an exemption for comparative year financial information, similar to that provided for countries adopting IFRSs in 2005
 - Determine policy for what, if any, modifications can be made to an IFRS on adoption into Canadian GAAP
 - Consider treatment of Canadian standards that will have no IFRS equivalent at the changeover

Standards anticipated to be adopted
Accounting Changes* (effective January 1, 2007)
Earnings per Share* (effective 2007)
Financial Instruments — Disclosures* (effective October 1, 2007)

* Standards anticipated to be adopted in substantially the same wording as IFRS counterpart

- 2007 Define criteria for assessment during Progress Review
 - Expose disclosures required prior to adoption
 - Determine basis for exposing existing IFRS for adoption into Canadian GAAP

Standards anticipated to be adopted

Business Combinations* (effective January 1, 2009) Going Concern* Income Taxes* (effective 2008) Inventories* (effective July 1, 2007) Joint Ventures* Liabilities and Equity*

* Standards anticipated to be adopted in substantially the same wording as IFRS counterpart

- 2008 Complete progress review
 Announce changeover timing
 Finalize disclosures required prior to adoption
 Issue omnibus exposure draft of existing IFRSs

<u>Standards anticipated to be adopted</u> Consolidations* Government Grants* Internally Developed Intangible Assets* Fair Value Measurement*

* Standards anticipated to be adopted in substantially the same wording as IFRS counterpart

- **2009** Determine rules of procedure for the exposure of IFRS \underline{after} changeover
 - Finalize process for translation to both official languages

Standards anticipated to be adopted beyond 2008 Financial Statement Presentation* Impairment* Insurance Contracts* Research and Development Costs* Revenue Recognition*

* Standards anticipated to be adopted in substantially the same wording as IFRS counterpart

Source: Accounting Standard Board of Canada